PAST PERFORMANCE OUESTIONNAIRE INSTRUCTIONS

VA Palo Alto Health Care System Palo Alto, CA

The information obtained from this questionnaire will be utilized to evaluate the past and present performance of offerors submitting proposals in response to the VA261-14-R-0424—Genomics Research Center at the VA Palo Alto Health Care System. The information you provide will be instrumental in allowing the Government to evaluate how well the contractor performed under your contract(s).

- a. Please complete all sections of the attached questionnaire. Include your name and title, organizational address, e-mail address, telephone and fax number.
- b. Include the contractor's name, your point of contact (POC,) the title and/or description of the type of work performed the award number, the value of the contract, the award and completion date of the project and the type of award.
- c. Use the attached rating scale rate each performance element.
- d. Comments are encouraged and would be appreciated. The last page may be used if additional space is needed for comments. Clear handwritten responses are sufficient.
- e. Due to time constraints, it is important that the questionnaire be completed and returned by the date proposal is due (August 26, 2013 at 10:00 am PST). Please e-mail your response to the following address:

Telephone: 916-923-4515

E-mail: andrea.fair@va.gov

VA Palo Alto Health Care System Attn: Contracting Officer, Andrea Fair 3230 Peacekeeper Way, Building 209 McClellan, CA 95652

Thank you for your time and participation.

PAST PERFORMANCE RATING SCALE

RATING:	DEFINITION:	USAGE:
EXCEPTIONAL	Performance exceeded many contractual requirements to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element being assessed was accomplished with no more than a few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective.	An EXCEPTIONAL rating is appropriate when the contractor successfully performed multiple significant events that were of benefit to the Government/Owner. A singular benefit however could be of such magnitude that it alone constitutes an Exceptional rating. Also there should have been NO significant weaknesses identified
GOOD	Performance exceeded some contractual requirements to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element being assessed was accomplished with no more than a few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective.	A GOOD rating is appropriate when the contractor has successfully performed a significant event that was a benefit to the Government/Owner. There should have been no significant weaknesses identified.
SATISFACTORY	Performance met all contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the elements being assessed were accomplished with no more than a few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were satisfactory.	A SATISFACTORY rating is appropriate when there were only a few minor problems, or major problems that the contractor recovered from without impact to the contract. There should have been NO significant weaknesses identified.
MARGINAL	Performance did not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element being assessed reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions or the contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully successful.	A MARGINAL rating is appropriate when a significant event occurred that the contractor had trouble overcoming which impacted the Government/Owner.
UNSATISFACTORY	Performance did not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element contains a serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions were ineffective.	An UNSATISFACTORY rating is appropriate when multiple significant events occurred that the contractor had trouble overcoming and which impacted the Government/Owner. A singular problem, however could be of such serious magnitude that it alone constitutes an unsatisfactory rating.
Neutral/Not Applicable or Unknown	No performance record identifiable within the area of evaluation	Performance was not observed or not applicable to the current effort being reported.

NAME OF CONTRACTOR BEING EVALUATED:	CONTRACTOR MAIN POC								
CONTRACT NUMBER:	CONTRACT VALUE:								
CONTRACTOR PERFORMED WORK AS: Prime Sub- Key Contractor Contractor Personnel PROJECT TITLE AND BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF WORK:		CONTRACT AWARD & COMPLETION DATE:							
RESPONDENT'S NAME	YOUR ORGANIZATIONAL ADDRESS								
PHONE/FAX NO:	E-MAIL:								
1. QUALITY:									
A. Quality of technical data/report preparation	RATING								
efforts	E	G	S	M	U	N			
Comments:									
B. Ability to meet quality standards specified for	RATING	ì							
technical performance	Е	G	S	М	U	Ν			
Comments:									
C. Timeliness/effectiveness of contract problem	RATING					Ī			
resolution without extensive customer guidance	E	G	S	M	U	N			
Comments:									
D. Adequacy/effectiveness of quality control	RATING								
program and adherence to contract quality assurance requirements (without adverse effect on performance)	E	G	S	M	U	N			
Comments: FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY – SOURCE SEL	ECTION S	SENSITIVI	E WHEN	COMPLET	ED				

2. SCHEDULE/TIMELINESS OF PERFORMANCE:						
A. Compliance with contract delivery/completion	RATING					
schedules including any significant intermediate	Е	G	S	М	U	N
milestones						
Comments:						
B. Rate the contractor's use of available resources		1	RAT	ING		ı
to accomplish tasks	E	G	S	М	U	N
Comments:						
2 CHICTORAFD CATICEACTION.						
A. To what extent were the end users satisfied			DAT	INC		
	RATING					NI NI
with the project? Comments:	E	G	S	M	U	N
comments:						
B. Contractor was reasonable and cooperative in			RAT	ING		
dealing with your staff (including the ability to	Е	G	S	М	U	N
successfully resolve disagreements/disputes;	_		3	141		.,
responsiveness to administrative reports and						
business communication)						
Comments:		<u> </u>			.1	
C. To what extent was the contractor cooperative,			RAT	ING		
businesslike and concerned with the interests of	Е	G	S	М	U	N
the customer?						
Comments:						
D. O. and H. and A. and M. Carller			DAT	'INC		
D. Overall customer satisfaction	E	G	RAT S	M	U	N
Comments:	L	U		IVI		IN
Comments.						
4. MANAGEMENT/PERSONNEL/LABOR						
A. Effectiveness of on-site management, including			RAT	ING		
management of subcontractors, suppliers,	Е	G	S	М	U	N
materials and/or labor force?						
Comments:						
FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY – SOURCE SEL	ECTION S	SENSITIVE	WHEN C	COMPLET	ED	

B. Ability to hire, apply and retain a qualified	RATING					
workforce to the effort	Е	G	S	М	U	N
Comments:		,				
C. Government Property Control	RATING					
	Е	G	S	М	U	N
Comments:		,				
D. Knowledge/expertise demonstrated by			RAT	ING		
contractor personnel	Е	G	S	М	U	N
Comments:		,				
E. Ability to simultaneously manage multiple			RAT	ING		
projects with multiple disciplines.	Е	G	S	М	U	N
Comments:						
F. Ability to assimilate and incorporate changes in			RAT	ING		
requirements or priority, including planning,	Е	G	S	М	U	N
execution and response to Government changes						
Comments:						
G. Effectiveness of overall management (including	RATING					
ability to effectively lead, manage and control the	Е	G	S	М	C	Ν
program)						
Comments:						
5. COST/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT						
A. Ability to meet the terms and conditions			RAT	ING		
contractually agreed to price(s)?	Е	G	S	М	U	N
Comments:						
FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY – SOURCE SEL	ECTION S	SENSITIVE	WHEN (COMPLET	ED	-

B. Contractor proposed innovative alternative	RATING					
methods/processes that reduced costs, improved	Е	G	S	М	U	N
maintainability or other factors that benefited the						
client.						
Comments:						
C. If this is/was a Government cost type contract,		T -		ING		
please rate the contractor's timeliness and	Е	G	S	M	U	N
accuracy in submitting monthly invoices with						
appropriate backup documentation, monthly status reports/budget variance reports,						
compliance with established budges and						
avoidance of significant and/or unexplained						
variances (under runs or overruns)						
Comments:						ı
D. Have there been any indications that the	RATING					
contractor has had any financial problems? If yes		Yes			No	
please explain below						
Comments:						
Comments:						
6. SAFETY/SECURITY: A. To what extent was the contractor able to			RAT	TING		
6. SAFETY/SECURITY:	E	G	RAT S	ING M	U	N
6. SAFETY/SECURITY: A. To what extent was the contractor able to	E	G			U	N
6. SAFETY/SECURITY: A. To what extent was the contractor able to maintain an environment of safety, adhere to its	E	G			U	N
6. SAFETY/SECURITY: A. To what extent was the contractor able to maintain an environment of safety, adhere to its approved safety plan and respond to safety issues?	E	G			U	N
6. SAFETY/SECURITY: A. To what extent was the contractor able to maintain an environment of safety, adhere to its approved safety plan and respond to safety issues? (Includes: following the users rules, regulations,	E	G			U	N
6. SAFETY/SECURITY: A. To what extent was the contractor able to maintain an environment of safety, adhere to its approved safety plan and respond to safety issues? (Includes: following the users rules, regulations, and requirements regarding housekeeping, safety,	E	G			U	N
6. SAFETY/SECURITY: A. To what extent was the contractor able to maintain an environment of safety, adhere to its approved safety plan and respond to safety issues? (Includes: following the users rules, regulations, and requirements regarding housekeeping, safety, correction of noted deficiencies, etc.)	E	G			U	N
6. SAFETY/SECURITY: A. To what extent was the contractor able to maintain an environment of safety, adhere to its approved safety plan and respond to safety issues? (Includes: following the users rules, regulations, and requirements regarding housekeeping, safety, correction of noted deficiencies, etc.) Comments:	E	G	S	М	U	N
6. SAFETY/SECURITY: A. To what extent was the contractor able to maintain an environment of safety, adhere to its approved safety plan and respond to safety issues? (Includes: following the users rules, regulations, and requirements regarding housekeeping, safety, correction of noted deficiencies, etc.) Comments: B. Contractor complied with all security			S	M		
6. SAFETY/SECURITY: A. To what extent was the contractor able to maintain an environment of safety, adhere to its approved safety plan and respond to safety issues? (Includes: following the users rules, regulations, and requirements regarding housekeeping, safety, correction of noted deficiencies, etc.) Comments: B. Contractor complied with all security requirements for the project and personnel	E	G	S	М	U	N N
6. SAFETY/SECURITY: A. To what extent was the contractor able to maintain an environment of safety, adhere to its approved safety plan and respond to safety issues? (Includes: following the users rules, regulations, and requirements regarding housekeeping, safety, correction of noted deficiencies, etc.) Comments: B. Contractor complied with all security			S	M		
6. SAFETY/SECURITY: A. To what extent was the contractor able to maintain an environment of safety, adhere to its approved safety plan and respond to safety issues? (Includes: following the users rules, regulations, and requirements regarding housekeeping, safety, correction of noted deficiencies, etc.) Comments: B. Contractor complied with all security requirements for the project and personnel security requirements.			S	M		
6. SAFETY/SECURITY: A. To what extent was the contractor able to maintain an environment of safety, adhere to its approved safety plan and respond to safety issues? (Includes: following the users rules, regulations, and requirements regarding housekeeping, safety, correction of noted deficiencies, etc.) Comments: B. Contractor complied with all security requirements for the project and personnel security requirements.			S	M		
6. SAFETY/SECURITY: A. To what extent was the contractor able to maintain an environment of safety, adhere to its approved safety plan and respond to safety issues? (Includes: following the users rules, regulations, and requirements regarding housekeeping, safety, correction of noted deficiencies, etc.) Comments: B. Contractor complied with all security requirements for the project and personnel security requirements. Comments:	E	G	RAT S	ING M	U	
6. SAFETY/SECURITY: A. To what extent was the contractor able to maintain an environment of safety, adhere to its approved safety plan and respond to safety issues? (Includes: following the users rules, regulations, and requirements regarding housekeeping, safety, correction of noted deficiencies, etc.) Comments: B. Contractor complied with all security requirements for the project and personnel security requirements.	E	G	RAT S	ING M	U	

7. GENERAL:						
A. Ability to successfully respond to emergency	RATING					
and/or surge situations (including notifying COR,	Е	G	S	М	U	N
PM, or Contracting officer in a timely manner						
regarding urgent contractual issues.)						
Comments:						
	_					
B. Compliance with contractual terms/provisions			RAT	ING		
(explain if specific issues)	Е	G	S	М	U	N
Comments:						
	_					
C. Would you hire or work with this firm again			RAT	ING		
(if no, please explain below)	Yes No			No		
D. In summary, provide an overall rating for the	RATING					
work performed by this contractor.	E	G	S	М	U	N
Comments:						
FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY – SOURCE SE	LECTION S	SENSITIVE	WHEN (COMPLET	ED	
1						