

5. Past Performance (Revised per Amendment A0002)

Previous experience will be evaluated as “Acceptable or Non-Acceptable”. To be acceptable, offerors must provide information demonstrating they have successfully performed as prime contractor within the last five (5) years* on a least THREE (3) construction projects of equal or greater size and complexity within the same or similar scope of work as work is identified in the project as described by the drawings and specifications of this solicitation in the price range of \$5,000,000 to \$10,000,000.00. This information shall be submitted on the “Past Performance Information Form” that is attached. (Note: A separate form shall be submitted for each contract). The past performance information forms provided with the proposal will be used for this evaluation factor. Additionally, past performance information shall be obtained by the Contract Specialist from any other sources available to the Government to include, but not limited to, PPIRS or other databases; interviews with Program Managers, Contracting Officers, and/or Contracting Officer Representatives.

*The (5) five years shall be calculated from the solicitation issue date.

**Note: In the case of an offeror without a record of relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available or so sparse that no meaningful past performance rating can be reasonably assigned, the offeror may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance (see FAR 15.305 (a)(2)(iv)). Therefore, the offeror shall be determined to have unknown past performance. In the context of acceptability/unacceptability, “unknown” shall be considered “acceptable.” Aspects of Past Performance Evaluation: The past performance evaluation results are an assessment of the offeror’s probability of meeting the minimum past performance solicitation requirements. This assessment is based on the offeror’s record of relevant and recent past performance information that pertains to the requirements outlined in the solicitation.

There are two aspects to the past performance evaluation. The first is to evaluate the offeror’s past performance to determine how relevant a recent effort accomplished by the offeror is to the effort to be acquired through the source selection. The criteria to establish what is recent and relevant are listed above. Consideration should be given to those aspects of an offeror’s contract history that would give the greatest ability to measure whether the offeror will satisfy the current procurement. Common aspects of relevancy include similarity of service/support, complexity, dollar value, contract type, and degree of subcontract/teaming.

Recency, as it pertains to past performance information, is a measure of the time that has elapsed since the past performance reference occurred. Recency is generally expressed as a time period during which past performance references are considered relevant.

Relevancy, as it pertains to past performance information, is a measure of the extent of similarity between the service/support effort, complexity, dollar value, contract type, and subcontract/teaming or other comparable attributes of past performance examples and the source solicitation requirements; and a measure of the likelihood that the past performance is an indicator of future performance.

Past Performance Relevancy Ratings	
Rating	Definition
Relevant	Present/past performance effort involved essentially the same scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.
Not Relevant	Present/past performance effort involved little or none of the scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.

The second aspect of the past performance evaluation is to determine how well the contractor performed on the contracts. The past performance evaluation performed in support of a current source selection does not establish, create, or change the existing record and history of the offeror's past performance on past contracts; rather, the past performance evaluation process gathers information from customers on how well the offeror performed those past contracts.

Sources of Past Performance Information for Evaluation are as follows:

- Past performance information shall be provided by the offeror, as solicited.
- Past performance information shall be obtained from questionnaires tailored to the circumstances of the acquisition.
- Past performance information shall be obtained from any other sources available to the Government, to include, but not limited to, the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), or other databases; interviews with Program Managers, Contracting Officers, and Contracting Officer Representatives. The Past Performance Evaluation Team will review this past performance information and determine the quality and usefulness as it applies to overall performance.

Once relevancy and overall performance have been reviewed, an overall acceptable or unacceptable rating will be assigned to each offeror based on the below definitions.

Overall Past Performance Evaluation Ratings

Rating	Description
Acceptable	Based on the offeror's performance record, the Government has a reasonable expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort, or the offeror's performance record is unknown. (See note above.)
Unacceptable	Based on the offeror's performance record, the Government has no reasonable expectation that the offeror will be able to successfully perform the required effort.