

Questions and Answers for VA258-15-R-0002 (VA258-15-R-0002)

Submitted: 3/31/2015 9:03:58 AM **By:** TOTAL TEAM CONSTRUCTION SERVICES, INC.

Question: 1 - Exhibit E-2 and E-3

Exhibit D states the following: "You should address your general self performance capability here as well as demonstrate it in the required Self-Performance work calculations on the Exhibit(s) E-1, E-2 and/or E-3 seed project you wish to participate on". Could not locate Exhibit E-2 and E-3. Can you please provide.

Answered On: 4/1/2015 11:27:03 AM

Answer: VA Response-Exhibit D of this solicitation, under item 1.C of the exhibit - Capabilities, incorrectly lists Exhibits E-1,E-2, and E-3. For solicitation VA258-15-R-0002, there is ONLY one seed proto-typical project and the correct Exhibit to address that proto-typical project is Exhibit E-1.

Submitted: 3/31/2015 9:05:54 AM **By:** TOTAL TEAM CONSTRUCTION SERVICES, INC.

Question: 2 - Category D

Solicitation states that task orders under Category D will range up to \$25M. Page 11 of 215 in the Solicitation notes Category D is from \$5M - \$10M. Which is correct?

Answered On: 4/1/2015 11:31:09 AM

Answer: VA Response-The correct dollar range for potential Category D task order award project MAY go from \$5 Million to \$25 Million as shown on form SF 1442. Page 11, under section 00010 Notes is incorrect and should be increased to \$25 Million to match the SF 1442 info. A correction section 00010 Notes is attached to Amendment A00001 and is now incorporated into this solicitation.

Submitted: 3/31/2015 3:28:17 PM **By:** D SQUARE CONSTRUCTION, LLC

Question: 3 - CAT increase at a later date

Would the government consider allowing a successful offeror for a particular CAT the opportunity, at the option year, to "apply" for a greater CAT, if their bonding ability and project capabilities supported it? For instance, allowing a CAT B offeror the opportunity, at the option year, to apply for consideration to compete for CAT C projects.

Answered On: 4/1/2015 11:41:39 AM

Answer: VA Response- VA could, at time of Option Renewal of the base contract, review a potential request from said Contractor. If VA requested supporting documentation is provided and substantiated, Contractor may be included to additoin or potentially removed from business Categories if past performance and business conditions call for such actions to be reviewed.

Submitted: 3/31/2015 3:28:57 PM **By:** D SQUARE CONSTRUCTION, LLC

Question: 4 - PPQ requirement

It states on Exhibit A "***Note - Past Performance questionnaire will NOT be required for this MATOC solicitation". It is unclear to us how the government intends to evaluate our past performance. Can the offeror, include within its proposal, previously completed past performance questionnaires or CPARS evaluations, if they exist, to demonstrate acceptable past performance?

Answered On: 4/1/2015 11:38:30 AM

Answer: VA Response- To conduct the performance risk assessment, the Government may use data provided by the offeror, and data obtained from other sources. The Government may but is not limited to: evaluate present and past performance information through the use of questionnaires completed by the offeror's references; use data independently obtained from other Government or commercial sources, including, but not limited to Government

databases; rely upon personal business experience with the offeror; and use the information provided in the Offeror's Past Performance Relevancy (Exhibit A). Contractor may provide previously completed past performance questionnaires or CPARS evaluations, if they exist, to support their case to the technical evaluation team for the Exhibit A projects your firm is proposing.

Submitted: 3/31/2015 3:29:47 PM **By:** D SQUARE CONSTRUCTION, LLC

Question: 5 - VHA sample form inclusion location

The NCO 4 Guide for VHA Directive 2011-036 page 5 states "Optional Sample form for Bidder/Offeror to Complete & Submit with Bid/Proposal". Does the government wish us to include this information within our proposal. If so, which volume should this document be located?

Answered On: 4/1/2015 12:17:44 PM

Answer: VA Response-NCO 4 Guide for VHA Directive 2011-036 safety deliverables MUST be completed for the proto-typical seed project (E-1) for 649-13-101 SCI Clinic Conversion. These deliverables also support the contractor's Technical Proposal Evaluations under point 6 "Safety". These deliverables MUST be placed into Electronic Binder 3-Vol 3 Technical Information.

Submitted: 3/31/2015 3:30:55 PM **By:** D SQUARE CONSTRUCTION, LLC

Question: 6 - Submission per CAT requirements

If the offeror intends to submit on various CAT projects are we to submit complete volume 1, 2, and 3 for each CAT even though there is only one seed project or can we submit one volume 1 (price proposal) to encompass all CAT projects the offeror intends to pursue?

Answered On: 4/1/2015 1:37:20 PM

Answer: VA Response-Each prospective Contractor is to provide ONLY one Electronic Binder 1 Volume 1: Price Information for Proto-typical Seed Project E-1 (649-13-101 SCI Clinic Conversion) as the response to this solicitation VA258-15-R-0002 as there is ONLY one proto-typical seed project which will cover the need for this solicitation for all business Categories A-B-C-D as clarified in the solicitation further on page 94 under Proposal Content item 1.4.1. Each prospective Contractor is to provide ONLY one Electronic Binder 2 Volume 2 for Past Performance and one Electronic Binder 3 Volume 3 for Technical Information that will clearly be used to support the Contractor's position as to why the business Category sizes being requested by the prospective Contractor should be awarded. This will properly allow the Technical Evaluation Team to review the documents provided to make proper selection judgements.

Submitted: 4/1/2015 10:35:53 AM **By:** D SQUARE CONSTRUCTION, LLC

Question: 7 - Bonding capacity evaluation

Section 00010 Dollar Value of Project states "Caution to Contractors: Each contractor must have sufficient bonding capacity for the ranges selected". It appears after reviewing the Instructions to Bidders that no information is being requested to confirm the offeror's bonding ability. How is the government going to evaluate bonding capability of the offeror for the Dollar Value categories the offeror is pursuing? Should we be including a document from our bonding agent confirming our bonding ability?

Answered On: 4/1/2015 11:49:52 AM

Answer: VA Response-VA now requests that prospective prime contractors provide letters from their Bonding Agencies to support the business Category class in which they wish to participate. This letter MUST be provided in Electronic Binder 3-Vol 3 Technical Information in support of Technical Proposal review point 1 "Capability and Experience"

Submitted: 4/2/2015 11:06:17 AM **By:** D SQUARE CONSTRUCTION, LLC

Question: 8 - Door schedule discrepancy

Sheet 117-A611, Door Schedule, Door 1B101A shows the door as a Type 4 automatic door. Under Door Types, this door is depicted as a single sliding door (2 panels). On Sheet 117-A111, this door appears to be depicted as a bi-parting door (4 panels). Please clarify the correct door type for this door.

Answered On: 4/9/2015 3:36:07 PM

Answer: VA Response-Contractor to note that bi-parting door (4 panels) doors as shown on drawing sheet AD111 and A111 are INCORRECT. Door type and detailing for Automatic Door is correctly detailed and shown on drawing sheet 117-A611.

Submitted: 4/2/2015 11:07:10 AM **By:** D SQUARE CONSTRUCTION, LLC

Question: 9 - Door schedule ADO

Sheet 117-A611, Door Schedule, Door 1B101 shows in the Comments column "ADO". Specification Section 08 71 00, Page 17 indicates this door is to receive Hardware Set #7, which includes no Automatic Door Operator. Please clarify the hardware set and operator status for this door.

Answered On: 4/9/2015 3:40:00 PM

Answer: VA Response-Contractor should price these Automatic Doors, as detailed on drawing sheet 117-A611 Door Schedule WITH new Automatic Door Operator hardware - wiring-associated devices-installation for this project. Door Hardware Specification 08-71-00 page 17 -Hardware Group #7 is INCORRECT

Submitted: 4/6/2015 10:48:39 AM **By:** MCGOLDRICK CONSTRUCTION SERVICES CORPORATION

Question: 10 - Seed Project Location Versus Bidding Region Location and Bid Bond
PLs confirm that we MUST bid on the seed project to be considered responsive even if we do not select that region for MATOC work. PLs confirm that, in that case, we do not need a Bid Bond (for a project in a region we do not select and for which we will not be awarded)

Answered On: 4/9/2015 3:46:13 PM

Answer: VA Response-Contractor is correct in the statement shown here. ALL potential Prime MATOC contractors MUST bid this proto-typical seed project (whether or not in the town they prefer to work or whether or not it is in your requested Business Size category) to be evaluated for a Base MATOC Contract. A Bid Bond WILL NOT be required for this proto-typical SEED Project. All potential Prime MATOC contractors MUST factor Payment and Performance bonds costs into their overall price for this project. A potential firm that does NOT want to work in Prescott would not be forced by VA to accept this project.

Submitted: 4/7/2015 8:28:15 AM **By:** TL SERVICES, INC.

Question: 11 - NCO 4 Guide for VHA DIRECTIVE 2011-036 - Page 5 - Sample Form Requirements

There is an optional sample form for Bidder/Offeror to complete & submit with bid/proposal. Is this sample form to be filled out and submitted by each Contractor in their MATOC proposal response?

Answered On: 4/9/2015 3:50:33 PM

Answer: VA Response-The forms provided in the NCO 4 Guide, whether marked Sample or not, MUST all be completed by each potential Prime MATOC Contractor and submitted for this proto-typical seed project as part of your Technical Information in electronic binder 3 - volume 3 as part of item 6 "Safety"

Submitted: 4/7/2015 8:52:47 AM **By:** TL SERVICES, INC.

Question: 12 - Exhibit C - Reference Questionnaires

Exhibit C is referenced throughout this solicitation. Is Exhibit C part of this solicitation?

Answered On: 4/9/2015 3:57:27 PM

Answer: VA Response-VA has eliminated the Exhibit C Past Performance Questionnaire from this MATOC solicitation. It is NOT shown in the list of attachments at the end of this solicitation. Any reference throughout the balance of the solicitation documents to requirement for an Exhibit C Past Performance Questionnaire submission is "Null and VOID"

Submitted: 4/7/2015 9:30:16 AM **By:** D SQUARE CONSTRUCTION, LLC

Question: 13 - ER Dept status

Please confirm that the Emergency Department will be shut down / relocated when the contractor begins work in the area of renovations.

Answered On: 4/13/2015 3:22:44 PM

Answer: VA Response-After successful task order award to successful Prime MATOC contractor, and before Notice to Proceed is signed by both parties, VA Prescott will be responsible to remove ALL rolling and non-attached equipment and materials from the work area to allow the successful contractor to begin demolition work. The area is planned to be vacated of staff and patients during the demo and re-construction work period and should be bid accordingly. Fixed items remaining should be noted on the current drawings as "Demo-salvage for VA" or "Demo-disposal by Contractor".

Submitted: 4/7/2015 9:43:18 AM **By:** VANDAHILL ENGINEERING & SALES, LTD.

Question: 14 - ACM Report

File VA258-15-R-0002-009 SCI Clinic Asbestos-Lead Report Cover-CAT B has no pertinent information regarding Asbestos Containing Material. Would the government please provide an ACM report outlining the conditions in the work area?

Answered On: 4/13/2015 3:27:01 PM

Answer: VA Response-The 2 page solicitation attachment "SCI Clinic Asbestos-Lead Report Cover" was suppose to be exactly that -a cover document. Contractor to note that VA HAS provided the complete 35 page Lead Paint report on Building 117 and the complete 448 Asbestos report on Bld 117 for everything that the VA Prescott know about these conditions in this work area. Amendment P0002 will also re-post these same documents to make sure there is no confusion and contractor have full information to properly bid the demolition and hazardous materials portion of this project.

Submitted: 4/7/2015 12:57:16 PM **By:** D SQUARE CONSTRUCTION, LLC

Question: 15 - Nurse Call

Sheet 117-TA111 indicates there are to be Nurse Call devices installed for this project. Will these devices report to a nurses station? If these devices are to tie into an existing system, will the VA provide the manufacturer name and whether there is a current maintenance contractor for this system? Will the VA provide specifications for the Nurse Call System?

This question has not been answered yet

[Answer Question](#)

Submitted: 4/7/2015 12:57:38 PM **By:** D SQUARE CONSTRUCTION, LLC

Question: 16 - Access Control

Sheet 117-TA111 indicates there will be Access Control card readers installed for this project. Do these card readers report to any location? Will the VA issue specifications for the Access Control System?

This question has not been answered yet

[Answer Question](#)

Submitted: 4/7/2015 1:55:37 PM **By:** D SQUARE CONSTRUCTION, LLC

Question: 17 - Testing Laboratory

Section 01 45 29 - Testing Laboratory Services, Part 1.1 states that the Testing Laboratory will be retained by the VA. Please confirm the VA will engage the Testing Lab and that this will not be a cost to the Contractor.

Answered On: 4/13/2015 3:42:58 PM

Answer: VA Response-Section 01 45 29 - Testing Laboratory Services, Part 1.1 states that the Testing Laboratory will be retained by the VA is INCORRECT. VA does NOT have the ability to hire these firms. Contractor MUST factor in their cost proposal the cost to hire required Third party material testing firms, underground utility locator services, and any Hazardous Materials monitoring and over-sight clearance services required for this work scope in this solicitation.

Submitted: 4/7/2015 1:56:00 PM **By:** D SQUARE CONSTRUCTION, LLC

Question: 18 - EDMS

Section 01 00 00 - General Requirements, Part 1.5 Construction Security Requirements, Sub-Part D.7.a states that all project documents shall be scanned and tracked through Engineering Document Management Software (EDMS). Please confirm the VA will require the EDMS system for this project.

Answered On: 4/9/2015 3:14:28 PM

Answer: VA Response-Section 01 00 00 - General Requirements, Part 1.5 Construction Security Requirements, Sub-Part D.7 is INCORRECT as there is NO electronic document system that has been purchased for this project such as EDMS, Newforma, or Submittal Exchange. For bid purposes, document control will be exchanges via e-mail or disk for larger volume info transfer.

Submitted: 4/7/2015 1:56:34 PM **By:** D SQUARE CONSTRUCTION, LLC

Question: 19 - Fenced area/Storage yard

Section 01 00 00 - General Requirements, Part 1.6 Operations and Storage Area, Sub-Part I states the contractor shall provide a chain link fence around the construction area indicated on the drawings. The drawings do not indicate the area to be fenced. Will the VA either describe or provide a drawing for the area to be fenced? Will the VA provide a storage yard area for this project? If so, would the VA indicate on a Site Map where the area will be permitted?

Answered On: 4/9/2015 3:11:57 PM

Answer: VA Response-Given the high potential for other construction project occurring at the same time on the Prescott campus as this project, the construction laydown area directly next to Building 117 will be extremely limited. The area that Prescott Engineering has agreed to for this project is a gravel area to the east exterior side of Building 117, in a gravel area between Bld 117 and the one story lab "bump out" building towards the front of the main hospital. Area away from the building towards the sidewalk area is also the extent of the fenced yard area for this project. From another map view, the gravel areas would be across from Building 12 and 13 as you look at Building 117. Construction fence with Green Screen is also the minimum requirement for an exterior laydown yard at Prescott.

Submitted: 4/8/2015 7:06:50 AM **By:** TL SERVICES, INC.

Question: 20 - Solicitation - Page 113 - Section 2.1.2.

Section 2.1.2. states the contract value, will be limited to \$100M in task orders per contract

period to a single contractor. Is the intent of this \$100M in task orders per contract period inclusive of "all" task orders awarded to MATOC contractors or is it for a single contractor?

Answered On: 4/9/2015 3:07:13 PM

Answer: VA Response-No one single Base MATOC contract award to a single successful contractor would be allowed to carry more than \$100 Million in successful task orders on it. That would be the maximum dollar cap to any single awarded MATOC contractor over the life of this new 5 yr construction vehicle.

Submitted: 4/8/2015 7:22:34 AM **By:** TL SERVICES, INC.

Question: 21 - Amendment 1 - Section 00010 NOTES - Page 4 - Top of Page

Amendment 1 states "****NOTE: ALL Contractors MUST submit a separate proposal for each Dollar Value Category for which they wish to be considered for award." However, in response to a previous RFI (see Question 6) on this topic, this response could potentially be misinterpreted. Just to be clear, is the expectation that only one Volume 1, one Volume 2 and one Volume 3 will be uploaded no matter how many categories are checked by the Contractor?

Answered On: 4/13/2015 3:57:42 PM

Answer: VA Response-Separate pricing proposal language for each Dollar Value Category, as noted on page 11 of the solicitation, is INCORRECT as this final version of the solicitation ONLY contains ONE seed proto-typical project for pricing. This subject-topic is better clarified on page 94 of the solicitation and contractors are to focus on that wording. To be clear, Contractor is to present their pricing proposal for the seed project 649-13-101 SCI Clinic - Exhibit E-1 as noted on page 97 of the solicitation in Volume 1-Binder 1. VA will ONLY need one Volume 2 - Binder 2 for Past performance to support previous work to support the city areas and business size you are requesting and ONLY need one Volume 3 - Binder 3 for Technical information to support the city areas and business size you are requesting. (no matter how many city areas or business categories you are applying for)

Submitted: 4/8/2015 7:37:39 AM **By:** GREENLAND ENTERPRISES, INC.

Question: 22 - Mailing Address for CD's

The address in Block 7 of the SF 1442 does not match the address provided for CD submissions, listed on Pages 94 & 95 of the solicitation. Please verify the correct address to mail the CD's.

Answered On: 4/13/2015 4:03:28 PM

Answer: VA Response-Contractor is correct there is different info in two places. The info in Block 7 of the SF 1442 is INCORRECT. The correct mailing address for the CD disk submission of Volume 2-Binder 2 for Past Performance and Volume 3-Binder 3 for Technical information is: Department of Veterans Affairs, Phoenix Contracting Office, 777 East Missouri Avenue - Suite 300, Phoenix AZ 85014 to the attention of Mr. Robert Kenny - Contracting Specialist. Early submission of CD Disks with this information (9 individual but duplicated disks) to this office before the proposal due date will be gladly accepted and kept confidential until proposal close. Hand delivery, courier, express mail or US Mail will be acceptable modes to receive these proposal deliverables to this office.

Submitted: 4/8/2015 7:38:55 AM **By:** GREENLAND ENTERPRISES, INC.

Question: 23 - Prototypical Proposal Offer Schedule

On Page 98 of the solicitation, Exhibit E-1 is described as the "Prototypical Proposal Offer Schedule," however, there is no document identified with that title either in the file name or appearing in the document. Also, the "Self-Performance Calculation Worksheet" and "Price Breakdown Sheet" do not utilize an Exhibit Name/# appearing in the documents. Please verify that the Price Breakdown Sheet is in fact the "Prototypical Proposal Offer

Schedule" (Exhibit E-1) and the Self-Performance Calculation Worksheet is in fact Exhibit E-1A.

Answered On: 4/14/2015 7:49:09 AM

Answer: VA Response-On page 98 of the solicitation, under 2.1.3.2, a Prototypical Proposal Offer Schedule is referenced. The correct name for this form that I used as an attachment to this solicitation is S02 E-1 SCI Clinic Pricing Breakdown Sheet-CAT B. This is the form that the Prime MATOC Contractor will fill out for the price submission on the 649-13-101 SCI Clinic E-1 proto-typical project and submit this into Electronic Binder 1 -Volume 1 Price Information. Attachment S02 E-1 SCI Clinic Self-Performance Calculation Worksheet-CAT B is ALSO an Exhibit E document that MUST be completed for the proto-typical seed project and submit this into Electronic Binder 1 -Volume 1 Price Information as well as a requested verification to the question posted above.

Submitted: 4/8/2015 7:40:16 AM **By:** GREENLAND ENTERPRISES, INC.

Question: 24 - Subcontractor Information and Exhibit B Requirement

Referencing the requirements listed on Page 99 of the solicitation, the offeror is required to "provide a list of major subcontractors" in the disciplines of plumbing, HVAC, electrical, roofing, and painting. These subcontractors are also required to "complete an Exhibit B 'Subcontractor Information and Consent' Form." The requirement appears again on the "Self-Performance Calculation Worksheet." Please clarify whether or not this requirement pertains to the overall MATOC or just the seed prototypical project. Also, would the government consider generalizing, limiting or even omitting these requirements of providing subcontractor names/information (on Page 99 of the solicitation, Self-Performance Calculation Worksheet, Exhibit D 3.B, and Exhibit A 2.A), as this information is difficult to determine for a 5-year MATOC spanning 3 states at 7 locations?

Answered On: 4/14/2015 8:40:18 AM

Answer: VA Response-VA Contracting clearly understands that few subcontractor can work over the multi-state region their solicitation and its corresponding base contract will cover. VA is looking for the Prime MATOC contractor to note here the key Plumbing, Electrical, HVAC, roofing, and painting subcontractor that your firm has a strong and on-going relationship with. This information from Exhibit B will support the nature of the business relationships your firm strives to bring as a complete construction solution to any potential task order (that typically involves a number of these trade disciplines within their associated scopes of work). It is clearly understood that each noted subcontractor may or may not participate on each task order or at each city location your firm wishes to participate in. Prime MATOC contractors are encourages to provide information on subcontractor for each region -city area they wish to participate in to further show their understanding of the local trade subcontractor markets for each city area. The information collected from the Exhibit B forms for Subcontractor information is intended to be used for the 5 year period of this MATOC contract vehicle. Subcontractors to be utilized on the proto-typical seed project WILL be listed by your firm on the S02 E-1 SCI Clinic Self-Performance Calculation Worksheet - CAT B to be submitted in Electronic Binder 1- Volume 1 - Price Information. VA will NOT consider generalizing, limiting or even omitting this requirement and has given clear guidance with this RFI response for subcontractor information.

Submitted: 4/8/2015 7:41:00 AM **By:** GREENLAND ENTERPRISES, INC.

Question: 25 - Exhibit F PPQ Reference

In Exhibit F – Proposal Volume Contents Aid, under "Electronic Binder No.2 – Volume 2," it references an Exhibit C - Reference Questionnaires as well as states, "send out questionnaires to your former clients so responses reach contracting officer NLT closing date." Please confirm that we are to disregard this, as the reference questionnaire is not a requirement under this solicitation.

Answered On: 4/14/2015 7:30:34 AM

Answer: VA Response-Exhibit F – Proposal Volume Contents Aid, under “Electronic Binder No.2 – Volume 2,” it references an Exhibit C Reference Questionnaires. This reference in this Exhibit F for this solicitation VA258-15-R-0002 has been ELIMINATED and this requirement will NOT apply to this solicitation. Please disregard this reference and ANY other reference to an Exhibit C Reference Questionnaires in the balance of ANY document in this solicitation.

Submitted: 4/8/2015 7:51:05 AM **By:** TL SERVICES, INC.

Question: 26 - Seed Project Pricing

Regarding the pricing of the seed project, is the contractor required to submit pricing per their "Location of work" selected (i.e. El Paso rates and factors, Albuquerque rates and factors, etc.), or price it for the actual location of the seed project (which would be Prescott, AZ)?

Answered On: 4/13/2015 4:21:46 PM

Answer: VA Response-Prime MATOC contractor is to price the ONLY proto-typical seed project in this solicitation 649-13-101 SCI Clinic -Exhibit E-1 with the Prescott AZ Davis Bacon wage rate sheet (provided as an attachment to this solicitation as P07 -Prescott DB wage rates dt 3-13-2015 as noted on page 215 of the solicitation). This solicitation is NOT for a Job Order Contracting method where tasks and city rates are set up in advance. Each subsequent individual task order will have its own solicitation, its own scope of work, and its own Davis Bacon wage rates for the city and time it will be issued and awarded. As there is ONLY one seed project in this solicitation, VA will only be receiving one price sheet for this Prescott AZ seed project upon close of this solicitation.

Submitted: 4/8/2015 10:30:36 AM **By:** D SQUARE CONSTRUCTION, LLC

Question: 27 - Clarification on subcontractor list

RFP Page 108, (4.2.1.6.1) asks the offeror to provide a list of major subcontractors who will be utilized throughout the life of the contract. Page 109, (4.2.1.6.4) also notes that the subcontractors shall have completed Exhibit B in Volume 2 to be considered. Since this MATOC covers (7) stations over a wide geographical region, not all subcontractors will be either willing or able to perform at every station. Will the VA confirm they are looking for a list of major subcontractors who will be utilized throughout the life of the contract, and that we need to submit subcontractors for each of the (7) locations the offeror chooses to select?

Answered On: 4/13/2015 4:13:56 PM

Answer: VA Response-VA Contracting clearly understands that few subcontractor can work over the multi-state region their solicitation and its corresponding base contract will cover. VA is looking for the Prime MATOC contractor to note here the key Plumbing, Electrical, HVAC, roofing, and painting subcontractor that your firm has a strong and on-going relationship with. This information will support the nature of the business relationships your firm strives to bring as a complete construction solution to any potential task order (that typically involves a number of these trade disciplines within their associated scopes of work). It is clearly understood that each noted subcontractor may or may not participate on each task order or at each city location your firm wishes to participate in. Prime MATOC contractors are encourages to provide information on subcontractor for each region -city area they wish to participate in to further show their understanding of the local trade subcontractor markets for each city area.

Submitted: 4/8/2015 12:22:40 PM **By:** D SQUARE CONSTRUCTION, LLC

Question: 28 - Schedule of Finishes

The VA has provided standard specifications for ceramic tile, resilient base, resilient tile, and resilient sheet flooring. The VA did not provide a Section 09 06 00 - Schedule For Finishes for this project. Will the VA provide information as to the product basis of design for all of the

aforementioned finishes, that will correspond to the Room Finish Schedule found on Sheet 117-A611?

Answered On: 4/9/2015 3:23:07 PM

Answer: VA Response-There will be NO Section 09-06-00 Schedule for Finishes on this project. Successful contractor shall provide materials that meet the applicable Division 9 specification technical characteristics requirements at locations as indicated in the Room Finish Schedule on drawing sheet 117-A611. Contractor to factor into their pricing that VA will be allowed to select from the provided manufacturer's full color line, including any premium colors, after submittal review after award.

Submitted: 4/8/2015 4:33:01 PM **By:** GLEN/MAR CONSTRUCTION, INC.

Question: 29 - Temp wall

Do you have a temp wall plan & what is the accepted construction of the wall? plywood vs drywall vs fire rated plastic, bottom of ceiling vs bottom of deck, painted vs no paint.

Answered On: 4/9/2015 3:03:53 PM

Answer: VA Response-Contractor to find barrier guidance in the specification binder - Volume 1 under section 01-35-26 Safety-sub section 1.12 Infection Controls. Barrier adherence should be done to a minimum Class 3 (III) level as the balance of this building is planned to be active during construction and dust-dirt-noise-air movement must be contained to the construction area.

Submitted: 4/8/2015 4:35:12 PM **By:** GLEN/MAR CONSTRUCTION, INC.

Question: 30 - existing mortar bed

Is there a mortar bed at the existing rooms 1B-120 bathroom & 1B-121 HAC? If so do you have a detail?

Answered On: 4/9/2015 2:43:39 PM

Answer: VA Response-Standard VA construction of this time period had tile set in a mortar bed (see note 11 on drawing sheet 117-AD11). VA nor the architect has specific details on this mortar bed area. Contractor should bid the project considering an existing mortar bed is under the current tile.

Submitted: 4/8/2015 4:36:10 PM **By:** GLEN/MAR CONSTRUCTION, INC.

Question: 31 - Roofing spec

Can you please provide a roofing spec?

Answered On: 4/9/2015 2:39:41 PM

Answer: VA Response-Contractor to note there will be NO roofing specification provided for this project. Work on this project consists of patching-repairing existing TPO roof material where affected by this project. TPO roof patch to be done by the approved means and methods of the TPO roof material chosen by the successful contractor under this solicitation and their specific means -methods on how to replace-repair areas of an existing TPO roof material.

Submitted: 4/8/2015 4:36:57 PM **By:** GLEN/MAR CONSTRUCTION, INC.

Question: 32 - earthwork spec

Can you please provide a earthwork spec?

Answered On: 4/9/2015 2:36:45 PM

Answer: VA Response-Contractors are advised to review project specification manual-volume 2-section 31-20-00 Earthwork starting on page 477 of the volume 2 spec binder for guidance in answering this question

Submitted: 4/8/2015 4:38:26 PM **By:** GLEN/MAR CONSTRUCTION, INC.

Question: 33 - seed project scheduling

would it be acceptable to use Microsoft project on this size of seed project?

Answered On: 4/14/2015 7:21:20 AM

Answer: VA Response-For this protot-typical seed project 649-13-101 SCI Clinic-Exhibit E-1, the use of Microsoft Project as the scheduling tool for the Prime MATOC Contractor will be acceptable to VA

Submitted: 4/8/2015 4:39:40 PM **By:** GLEN/MAR CONSTRUCTION, INC.

Question: 34 - handrail & corner guards

Can you please identify the location of the corner guards and Handrails?

Answered On: 4/14/2015 8:14:29 AM

Answer: VA Response-Architect claims there are NO handrails as part of the work scope of this project. Prime MATOC contractor is to furnish-supply-install corner guards on ALL exterior corners in cooridors 1B100A and 1B100B and ALL areas of Waiting Room 1B101.

Submitted: 4/8/2015 4:46:23 PM **By:** GLEN/MAR CONSTRUCTION, INC.

Question: 35 - Med gases

Will there need to be a live tap for the med gases or are there valves that can shut down the system?

This question has not been answered yet

[Answer Question](#)

Submitted: 4/8/2015 4:56:32 PM **By:** GLEN/MAR CONSTRUCTION, INC.

Question: 36 - nurse call, security & fire alarm systems

what is the existing brand of nurse call, security and fire alarm systems?

This question has not been answered yet

[Answer Question](#)

Submitted: 4/9/2015 10:35:26 AM **By:** D SQUARE CONSTRUCTION, LLC

Question: 37 - Telecom keynotes

Sheet 117-TT121, Telecom Keynotes #1 instructs the Contractor to move existing 2-post rack and re-route cabling to new rack location. Will the VA provide an estimate of how many cables are existing and of which type (fiber or copper)?

This question has not been answered yet

[Answer Question](#)

Submitted: 4/9/2015 10:35:53 AM **By:** D SQUARE CONSTRUCTION, LLC

Question: 38 - Fiber termination

Sheet 117-TT121, Telecom Keynotes #1 instructs the Contractor to terminate fiber in existing FDU. Will the VA provide the type of fiber to be terminated?

This question has not been answered yet

[Answer Question](#)

Submitted: 4/9/2015 3:14:41 PM **By:** VETCON, LLC

Question: 39 - Contractor Licensing

Is the Contractor required to have the appropriate General Contractor's license for the jurisdictions in which they intend to apply for under the MATOC?

Answered On: 4/14/2015 8:56:24 AM

Answer: VA Response- This new Technical Proposal requirement will NOT apply to Texas based Potential Prime MATOC contractors as the state of Texas does NOT issue STATE commercial general contractor's licences. Potential Prime MATOC contractors MUST have and provide a copy of, at time of proposal due date for this solicitation, a current and valid STATE commercial general contractor's (NOT TRADE Contractor) license (at a minimum) for the state where their home office resides or listed in the VETBIZ database. Potential Prime MATOC contractors MAY have valid state commercial general contractor licenses in the states this solicitation covers BUT THIS WILL NOT BE NECESSARY. This is a new Technical Proposal evaluation document being required for this solicitation that should be submitted by your firm into BOTH Electronic Binder 1- Volume 1 Price Information AND Electronic Binder 3 - Volume 3 Technical Information to support evaluation subfactor 1 -Capability and Experience (noted on page 107 of solicitation). This commercial general contractor's license will be used by the VA as one of a number of determination of responsibility documents used to evaluate your firm's participation in this solicitation.

Submitted: 4/9/2015 3:20:32 PM **By:** GLEN/MAR CONSTRUCTION, INC.

Question: 40 - Question 28

Is there a temp wall plan?

Answered On: 4/14/2015 10:08:22 AM

Answer: VA Response-Contractor to find barrier guidance in the specification binder - Volume 1 under section 01-35-26 Safety-sub section 1.12 Infection Controls. Barrier adherence should be done to a minimum Class 3 (III) level as the balance of this building is planned to be active during construction and dust-dirt-noise-air movement must be contained to the construction area. There is NO temp wall plan detailed in the solicitation documents. Prime MATOC contractor MUST provide safety-entry security-dust protection-Infection Control measures to the entire jobsite during the construction process. Contractor to price accordingly for the number and duration of the temp walls required on this project as part of the seed project pricing sheet for this proto-typical project.

Submitted: 4/10/2015 3:38:58 PM **By:** BEXAR-ADVANCED SOLUTIONS GROUP, LLC

Question: 41 - Exhibit B, "Subcontractor Information and Consent Form"

Page 99 of the RFP states, "Each major subcontractor and each Teaming Partner must complete an Exhibit B, "Subcontractor Information and Consent Form" to be considered for evaluation as part of the proposing Prime MATOC contractor technical proposal evaluation point." Can you please confirm that Exhibit B's are required for subcontractors proposed for the seed project ONLY?

Answered On: 4/14/2015 10:11:37 AM

Answer: VA Response-Exhibit B is NOT just for the proto-typical seed project to clarify. VA Contracting clearly understands that few subcontractor can work over the multi-state region their solicitation and its corresponding base contract will cover. VA is looking for the Prime MATOC contractor to note here the key Plumbing, Electrical, HVAC, roofing, and painting subcontractor that your firm has a strong and on-going relationship with. This information from Exhibit B will support the nature of the business relationships your firm strives to bring as a complete construction solution to any potential task order (that typically involves a number of these trade disciplines within their associated scopes of work). It is clearly understood that each noted subcontractor may or may not participate on each task order or at each city location your firm wishes to participate in. Prime MATOC contractors are encouraged to provide information on subcontractor for each region -city area they wish to participate in to further show their understanding of the local trade subcontractor markets for

each city area. The information collected from the Exhibit B forms for Subcontractor information is intended to be used for the 5 year period of this MATOC contract vehicle. Subcontractors to be utilized on the proto-typical seed project WILL be listed by your firm on the S02 E-1 SCI Clinic Self-Performance Calculation Worksheet -CAT B to be submitted in Electronic Binder 1- Volume 1 - Price Information. VA will NOT consider generalizing, limiting or even omitting this requirement and has given clear guidance with this RFI response for subcontractor information.

Submitted: 4/10/2015 3:40:00 PM **By:** BEXAR-ADVANCED SOLUTIONS GROUP, LLC

Question: 42 - CD Submission

Page 95 of the RFP requires us to submit 9 CDs of Volume 2, Past Performance and Volume 3, Technical Information to the CO by the proposal due date. We anticipate that subcontractors for the seed project will be selected at the last minute. Since this information affects both Volumes 2 and 3, would the VA consider letting us submit CDs to be due the day AFTER the proposal due date?

Answered On: 4/14/2015 10:46:04 AM

Answer: VA Response- Contractor is correct in their question that gathering info on new subcontractors for the proto-typical seed project 649-13-101 SCI Clinic - Exhibit E-1 will be very difficult as VA understands that critical subcontractors for the seed project will only be selected at the last minutes before proposal closing time and date. The date and time of delivery for the 9 CD of Electronic Binder 2-Volume 2 Past Performance and Electronic Binder 3 -Volume 3 Technical Information WILL NOT be changed. VA is specifically looking at the subcontractors that your firms already had used successfully in the past for the trades noted, and who may or may not, participate on this proto-typical seed project in Prescott AZ. Attachment S02 E-1 SCI Clinic Self Performance Worksheet, to be submitted in Electronic Binder 1-Volume 1 Pricing Information WILL show the specific key subcontractors you are proposing for the proto-typical seed project.

Submitted: 4/10/2015 3:40:48 PM **By:** BEXAR-ADVANCED SOLUTIONS GROUP, LLC

Question: 43 - Pricing information

Page 97 of the RFP states, Offerors shall provide all price information necessary to provide a meaningful basis for the Government's analysis and evaluation of price for the MATOC seed project(s) set forth in Section 00120 – Proposal Evaluation section (and as noted above in Item 2.1.3)of this solicitation." Can you please clarify what information is needed for a "meaningful basis"?

Answered On: 4/14/2015 11:23:41 AM

Answer: VA Response -A VA price information review will be performed on the Prime MATOC contractor's proposed proto-typical seed project for a "meaningful basis" to determine a number of items :such as If an offeror proposes an unusually low price (when compared against the Government Independent Cost Estimate and other proposals received for the project). Price will also be Reasonableness and Realism as further defined on page 111 of the solicitation under items 5.3 and 5.4

Submitted: 4/10/2015 4:01:59 PM **By:** BEXAR-ADVANCED SOLUTIONS GROUP, LLC

Question: 44 - Bid Bond

Can you please verify if a Bid Bond will be required if we are not intending to perform in the Prescott, AZ location?

Answered On: 4/13/2015 4:28:38 PM

Answer: VA Response-As there will be sufficient Prime Contractor competition for this project and VA clearly understands that not every Prime MATOC contractor will or wants to work in Prescott AZ, there will be NO BID BOND requirement for the proto-typical seed project 649-13-101 SCI Clinic-Exhibit E-1. This is also supported on the SF1442 cover sheet,

block 13 B "Bid bond or Offer Guarantee" is checked "Not required". Prime MATOC Contractors MUST factor into the proto-typical seed project the Payment and Performance Bond costs and requirement will still apply to ALL contractors submitting pricing on this project as this is part of a normal task order project cost item.

Submitted: 4/13/2015 11:41:18 AM **By:** D SQUARE CONSTRUCTION, LLC

Question: 45 - M&O Standards

Does NAVAHCS have in existence, a facility specific Maintenance and Operations Standards manual? From our past experience at other facilities in VISN 18, they have a M&O standard that frequently causes major disruptions to the construction process when not issued to the A&E and to contractors during the bid process. This is exceptionally important after reviewing the answer to the vendor portal question #28. If so, will the VA provide this manual for review by the Offerors?

Answered On: 4/14/2015 11:28:23 AM

Answer: VA Response -The Prescott campus does NOT have an "Maintenance and Operations Standards manual". All product information for the proto-typical seed project 649 -13-101 SCI Clinic Exhibit E-1 had been incorporated into the plans and specifications for this seed project. Products provided by the Prime MATOC Contractor for this seed project in Prescott MUST meet the requirements as set forth in the operating characteristics of the contract solicitation documents provided for this project.

Submitted: 4/13/2015 11:43:42 AM **By:** D SQUARE CONSTRUCTION, LLC

Question: 46 - Contractors License inclusion location

At the Site Visit, it was mentioned that Offerors should provide a copy of their contractor's license. Where and in which volume would the VA prefer the contractor's license to be inserted?

Answered On: 4/14/2015 8:57:37 AM

Answer: VA Response- This new Technical Proposal requirement will NOT apply to Texas based Potential Prime MATOC contractors as the state of Texas does NOT issue STATE commercial general contractor's licenses. Potential Prime MATOC contractors MUST have and provide a copy of, at time of proposal due date for this solicitation, a current and valid STATE commercial general contractor's (NOT TRADE Contractor) license (at a minimum) for the state where their home office resides or listed in the VETBIZ database. Potential Prime MATOC contractors MAY have valid state commercial general contractor licenses in the states this solicitation covers BUT THIS WILL NOT BE NECESSARY. This is a new Technical Proposal evaluation document being required for this solicitation that should be submitted by your firm into BOTH Electronic Binder 1- Volume 1 Price Information AND Electronic Binder 3 - Volume 3 Technical Information to support evaluation subfactor 1 -Capability and Experience (noted on page 107 of solicitation). This commercial general contractor's license will be used by the VA as one of a number of determination of responsibility documents used to evaluate your firm's participation in this solicitation.

Submitted: 4/13/2015 11:49:20 AM **By:** D SQUARE CONSTRUCTION, LLC

Question: 47 - Dollar Value Category content requirements

Areas of consideration states ***NOTE: "ALL Contractors MUST submit a separate proposal for each Dollar Value Category for which they wish to be considered for award." Can you please confirm that we are to submit a separate Past Performance and Technical proposal for each dollar value category? Should they be submitted as separate binders or be contained within one binder (separated by indexing)?

This question has not been answered yet

[Answer Question](#)

Submitted: 4/13/2015 1:20:17 PM **By:** BEXAR-ADVANCED SOLUTIONS GROUP, LLC

Question: 48 - CD Submission

Pages 94 and 95, sections 1.4.2 and 1.4.3 of the RFP states that prospective offerors shall submit copies of the Electronic Binder No. 2 – Volume 2, Past Performance and Electronic Binder No. 3 – Volume 3, Technical Information on 9 CD Disks. Can you please clarify if the requirement is for a total of 9 CDs for the entire proposal or if the VA wants 9 CDs for each category the offeror is bidding on?

This question has not been answered yet

[Answer Question](#)

Submitted: 4/13/2015 1:39:54 PM **By:** BEXAR-ADVANCED SOLUTIONS GROUP, LLC

Question: 49 - Proposal Submission

During the site visit it was stated that offerors should only submit 1 proposal for all Dollar Value Categories, but page 11 of the RFP states that "ALL Contractors MUST submit a separate proposal for each Dollar Value Category for which they wish to be considered for award." Can you please confirm that we are only required to submit a total of 1 proposal for this solicitation.

This question has not been answered yet

[Answer Question](#)

Submitted: 4/13/2015 2:01:57 PM **By:** BEXAR-ADVANCED SOLUTIONS GROUP, LLC

Question: 50 - Past Performance

At the site visit it was mentioned that offerors should only submit 1 proposal for this solicitation. Page 98, section 2.2.1, of the RFP indicates that we need to provide "at least five (5) general construction projects". Since we are to only submit 1 Proposal, can you please clarify if the minimum number of projects required to be submitted is still 5 projects per Dollar Value Category (5 for Category A + 5 for Category B + 5 for Category C + 5 for Category D = 20)?

This question has not been answered yet

[Answer Question](#)

Submitted: 4/13/2015 3:13:05 PM **By:** BEXAR-ADVANCED SOLUTIONS GROUP, LLC

Question: 51 - FedBizOpps.gov

Solicitation VA258-15-R-0002

Answered On: 4/14/2015 10:54:30 AM

Answer: VA Response-This question is "NULL & VOID" as there is no proper question to be responded to.

Submitted: 4/13/2015 3:13:06 PM **By:** BEXAR-ADVANCED SOLUTIONS GROUP, LLC

Question: 52 - FedBizOpps.gov

Solicitation VA258-15-R-0002

Answered On: 4/14/2015 10:54:53 AM

Answer: VA Response-This question is "NULL & VOID" as there is no proper question to be responded to.

Submitted: 4/13/2015 3:18:42 PM **By:** BEXAR-ADVANCED SOLUTIONS GROUP, LLC

Question: 53 - Solicitation not showing on FBO.gov

Currently fbo.gov website does not show solicitation VA258-15-R-0002 when conducting a search. Can you make this solicitation available once more on the fbo.gov site?

Answered On: 4/14/2015 10:53:24 AM

Answer: VA Response-VA Contracting is aware, as of Monday April 13, 2015, that somehow the solicitation has been MOVED from the active FBO postings to Archieve. Calls have been placed to FBO to "un-Archieve". Best hope currently is that placing Amendment 2 out (shortly) will bring it back out of Archive state.

Submitted: 4/14/2015 7:31:12 AM **By:** D SQUARE CONSTRUCTION, LLC

Question: 54 - Testing Laboratory

Exhibit D – Technical Proposal Data 5B states "Provide the names of testing laboratories to be used and the procedures for test data report." Does the government wish to see all testing laboratories that may be used, for each specific location the offeror is pursuing throughout the life of the MATOC, or merely for the seed project?

Answered On: 4/14/2015 11:14:43 AM

Answer: VA Response-VA Contracting clearly understands that testing subcontractor can work over the multi-state region. VA is looking for the Prime MATOC contractor to note here the key Testing Lab subcontractor that your firm has a strong and on-going relationship with. This information from Exhibit D- Item 5B will support the nature of the business relationships your firm strives to bring as a complete construction solution to any potential task order (that typically involves a number of these trade disciplines within their associated scopes of work). It is clearly understood that each noted that a Testing Lab subcontractor may or may not participate on each task order or at each city location your firm wishes to participate in. Prime MATOC contractors are encourages to provide information on subcontractor for each region -city area they wish to participate in to further show their understanding of the local trade subcontractor markets for each city area. The information collected from the Exhibit D- Item 5B form for Testing Lab subcontractor information is intended to be used for the 5 year period of this MATOC contract vehicle.

Submitted: 4/14/2015 11:02:56 AM **By:** HERMAN CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC.

Question: 55 - Proposal Submission

The RFP, Amendment 0001 and RFIs are not clear as to whether or not separate proposals are required for dollar values categories being pursued. If multiple proposals are required for each dollar value being pursued, that would result in the VA having to review and evaluate the same proposal multiple times, which does not seem efficient or cost-effective for the VA or for Offerors. Experience and past performance on a \$10M project for Category D, should clearly demonstrate an Offeror's ability to perform on a \$4M project for Category C, and so on. Furthermore, a project management approach (Capabilities, Experience, Personnel, Subcontractors, Scheduling Methodologies, Safety, QC & Infection Control) proposed and implemented for \$5M-\$25M projects (Category D) also indicates the ability to implement the same project management approach on smaller projects (i.e., Category A, B, & C). QUESTION 1A: Will the VA confirm that Offerors pursuing multiple dollar value categories are to submit only ONE complete proposal (Vol 1, 2 & 3); and QUESTION 1B: Offerors pursuing multiple dollar values should submit projects demonstrating experience in the highest dollar value category being pursued, and those higher dollar-valued projects will automatically demonstrate and qualify the Offeror for lower dollar-value categories being pursued.

This question has not been answered yet

[Answer Question](#)

Submitted: 4/14/2015 11:03:40 AM **By:** HERMAN CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC.

Question: 56 - Proposal Submission

Page 11 of 215 in the RFP, as well as Section 00010 Notes (page 4 of 4) provided with Amendment 0001, states, "All Contractors MUST submit a separate proposal for each Dollar Value Category for which they wish to be considered for award." However, Q&A #6, provided with Amendment 0001, states, "Each prospective Contractor is to provide ONLY one Electronic Binder 1 Volume 1: Price Information for Proto-typical Seed Project E-1 (649-13-101 SCI Clinic Conversion) as the response to this solicitation VA258-15-R-0002 as there is ONLY one proto-typical seed project which will cover the need for this solicitation for all business Categories A-B-C-D as clarified in the solicitation further on page 94 under Proposal Content item 1.4.1. Each prospective Contractor is to provide ONLY one Electronic Binder 2 Volume 2 for Past Performance and one Electronic Binder 3 Volume 3 for Technical Information that will clearly be used to support the Contractor's position as to why the business Category sizes being requested by the prospective Contractor should be awarded. This will properly allow the Technical Evaluation Team to review the documents provided to make proper selection judgements." QUESTION: Will the Government please confirm that even if Offerors proposed on multiple Dollar Value Categories and multiple locations, only ONE Volume I, Volume II and Volume III should be submitted (i.e., if the Offeror wishes to be considered for multiple business Category sizes, the Offeror's ONE proposal can be used to demonstrate the Offeror's position as to why the requested business Category sizes should be awarded.)?

This question has not been answered yet

[Answer Question](#)

Submitted: 4/14/2015 11:04:24 AM **By:** HERMAN CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC.

Question: 57 - Past Performance Requirements

Page 95 of the RFP Solicitation, paragraph 1.5.1, second sentence states, "The due date for receipt of Past Performance Questionnaires from Offeror's references is the same as the date and time set for the receipt of proposals shown in Block 13 of the SF 1442." However, at the bottom of Exhibit A, there is a large note that states, "Note - Past performance questionnaires will not be required for this MATOC solicitation." Please confirm that PPQs are not required, but may, as indicated in RFI #4 provided within Amendment 0001, be provided to support the case to the technical evaluation Team for the Exhibit A projects.

This question has not been answered yet

[Answer Question](#)

Submitted: 4/14/2015 11:04:43 AM **By:** HERMAN CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC.

Question: 58 - Past Performance Requirements

Please confirm that projects submitted in Volume 2, Past Performance Information, should be relevant to the proposed work anticipated under the IDIQ MATOC and not specifically relevant to the Prototypical Seed Project.

This question has not been answered yet

[Answer Question](#)

Submitted: 4/14/2015 11:05:24 AM **By:** HERMAN CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC.

Question: 59 - Period of Performance for Seed Project

Will the Government please provide a contract period of performance for the Prototypical Seed Project so Offerors may correct develop the CPM schedule, as requested in Vol III, Exhibit D, Scheduling Methodologies

This question has not been answered yet

[Answer Question](#)

Submitted: 4/14/2015 11:06:19 AM **By:** HERMAN CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC.

Question: 60 - List of Subcontractors

Would the VA please confirm that the list of subcontractors provided in Exhibit A, Part 2, and Exhibit D, Specific Personnel, are only applicable to the Seed Project and not the life of the MATOC IDIQ, as trying to list and identify potential subcontractors for the life of a 5-year IDIQ Contract with multiple dollar value categories and seven (7) different locations throughout three (3) states: 1) prohibits and restricts Offerors from evaluating and awarding subcontractors based on a Best Value approach and their ability to perform specific Task Order project scopes and requirements, which are yet to be determined; and 2) Would greatly exceed the maximum of three subcontractors per discipline, if pursuing multiple locations and multiple dollar value categories.

This question has not been answered yet

[Answer Question](#)

Submitted: 4/14/2015 11:06:57 AM **By:** HERMAN CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC.

Question: 61 - List of Subcontractors

Would the VA please confirm that Subcontractor Information and Consent Form is only applicable if Offerors are using a subcontractor and/or Teaming Partner to help qualify the Offeror's past performance history (i.e., if the Prime qualifies with their own projects, Offerors only need to list potential subcontractors (a max of 3 per trade/discipline) that may perform on the Seed Project, and therefore do not have to include a Subcontractor Information and Consent Form)?

This question has not been answered yet

[Answer Question](#)

Submitted: 4/14/2015 11:07:14 AM **By:** HERMAN CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC.

Question: 62 - Number of Awards

How many awards is the VA anticipating making on this VISN 18 MATOC?

Answered On: 4/14/2015 11:33:49 AM

Answer: VA Response-VA Contracting will not comment on the minimum or maximum number of Prime MATOC contractors that may receive an award against this solicitation.

Submitted: 4/14/2015 11:07:35 AM **By:** HERMAN CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC.

Question: 63 - Reporting Requirements for Personnel

In order to comply with VISN 18 MATOC IDIQ requirements, will the VA please provide the correct reporting structure for the Superintendent, Infection Control Manager and SSHO.

Answered On: 4/14/2015 11:36:25 AM

Answer: VA Response - This question is vague and unclear. Please re-submit with what further you are wishing the VA to clarify on concerning reporting structure for the Superintendent, Infection Control Manager and SSHO

Submitted: 4/14/2015 11:10:09 AM **By:** HERMAN CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC.

Question: 64 - Key Personnel

A contract of this size will require multiple QC Managers, Infection Control Managers and

Superintendents and Safety Managers, and assignment of those individuals will be based on location of Task Order and scope of Task Order. Therefore, will the Government confirm that the key personnel listed in Exhibit D, and subsequent resumes/qualifications, are for proposed key personnel assigned to the Seed Project and not the VISN 18 MATOC IDIQ?

Answered On: 4/14/2015 11:44:33 AM

Answer: VA Response- For Exhibit D, Item 2 Organization, sub item 2B Specific Staff Memembers, VA wants to know about ALL the staff listed for these positions that is CURRENTLY with your firm. This requirement is NOT just for who you are proposing for the seed proto-typical project. The staffing review will be used to see staff members that may be used on any of the individual task orders assigned under a Base MATOC award for aaANY project issued for VISN 18.

Submitted: 4/14/2015 11:14:15 AM **By:** HERMAN CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC.

Question: 65 - Volume 1

Will the Government confirm that Exhibit E-1 and Exhibit E-1A are the only Exhibit E forms that need to be completed and included in Volume 1, Offer Documents and Price Information, directly after the Offeror and Section 00010 documents?

This question has not been answered yet

[Answer Question](#)

Submitted: 4/14/2015 11:36:35 AM **By:** HERMAN CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC.

Question: 66 - Bonding Requirements

What is the minimum individual and aggregate bonding levels required for Offerors. If an offeror is pursuing Category D at all 7 locations of work, we can assume that the Offeror must demonstrate an individual bonding limit of at least \$25M per project, but what aggregate level must Offerors demonstrate?

This question has not been answered yet

[Answer Question](#)
