

April 27, 2016

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

**RE: MALCOLM RANDALL VA MEDICAL CENTER, GAINESVILLE, FL
 RENOVATE NUCLEAR MEDICINE**

Project No.: 573-CSI-103

From: DAVID NOVACK

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

ITEM NO.	RFI FROM	DATE RECEIVED BY AE	QUESTION	RESPONSE BY	RESPONSE
1	Bioshere International, Inc.	4/25/2016	<p>1. Something has just come to light that needs to be clarified even though the RFI period is over. Dwg. A502 shows several wall sections that are constructed with lead-lined gypsum board. The problem is that the lead is shown on the “finished” side of the wall or the side that faces the room and is visible to the patients.</p> <p>This seems very odd, we would have expected the lead to be applied to the metal studs first, then covered with the drywall. That would allow us to tape and finish the drywall in the conventional manner. As it is shown now, we will be painting raw lead sheets! Lead is not a finish material; therefore, we believe this may be a mistake.</p>		<p>1. The shielding-related information indicated on Drawing A502 is diagrammatic with more definitive information provided on Drawing A401 and in the Specifications, Section 13 49 00 –Radiation Protection..</p> <p>2. Nevertheless, refer to Addendum No. 4 for additional clarification.</p>

RFIs
Expand and Renovate SPS
Malcom Randall VA Medical Center, Gainesville, FL

ITEM NO.	RFI FROM	DATE RECEIVED BY AE	QUESTION	RESPONSE BY	RESPONSE
2	Bioshere International, Inc.	4/25/2016	<p>1. There is another discrepancy regarding the lead shielding: the wall sections on Dwg. A502 show the lead shielding going to the structural deck above but on Dwg. A401 (that shows the extent and location of the lead shielding on the walls); Note 2 states that the lead shielding goes to 7'-0" above the floor.</p> <p>The plans don't say how high above the floor the structure deck above" but it could easily be 12-ft to 14-ft. That could double the amount of lead shielding that is required and will have a significant impact on the price.</p>		1. Refer to response to Item 1.
3	Bioshere International, Inc.	4/25/2016	<p>1. The Solicitation and Bid Form clearly identify Deductive Bid Alternate 1" as all the work associated with replacing the doors at openings A01A, A01B and A01C.</p> <p>Electrical drawing EP101; Note 9 states "Alternate No. 1: Delete all work associated with automatic door". These doors are in addition to the doors cited in the Bid Form and would delete the Automatic Door openers to the Medication Room (409) and the Control Room (A022). Is that what the VA wants?</p>		<p>1. Deductive Bid Alternate 1 is correct as stated in Section 01 00 00 – General Requirements, Paragraph 1.2.</p> <p>2. Clarifications on Drawing EP101 are noted below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Remove NOTE 9 from door into Medication Room Door A09. b. Remove NOTE 9 from pair of doors into Control Room Door A022.
4	Bioshere International, Inc.	4/26/2016	<p>1. Amendment 3; Bid Addendum 1 (dated 4/22/16); pg 2; Dwg A501, notes; deletes the finishes for the STAFF TOILET and adds revised finishes (presumably for the STAFF TOILET); however, there is no STAFF TOILET in our work area. Can you clarify?</p>		Staff Toilet refers to Room Toilet A017A-1. Refer to Addendum 4.

END OF DOCUMENT