PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

SUBJECT: Past Performance Questionnaire for VA249-16-R-0286 Renovate Surgical Suites and Upgrade Operating Room at VAMC Huntington, WV

PAST PERFORMANCE INSTRUCTIONS

The NCO 9 Network Contracting Activity, Murfreesboro, Tennessee has issued a solicitation Renovate Surgical Suites and Upgrade Operating Room at VAMC Huntington, WV.

Past performance information will be used to evaluate proposals received. Section A is to be completed by the Offeror. Section A of the enclosed questionnaire lists the contractor who has identified your office as a source to evaluate their past performance. Section A also authorizes release of this information to NCO 9 Network Contracting Activity, Murfreesboro, Tennessee.

The Offeror must provide this entire document to each of its assessors. The Offeror shall only submit with its proposal (by the closing date of the Solicitation) copies of Section A of the questionnaire as provided to the assessors.

Section B in its entirety is to be completed by the assessor(s). An individual assessor knowledgeable of the contractor's quality of supplies and services rendered is requested to verify, complete the questionnaire, and submit to the Contracting Office. If evaluating more than one contract for the same contractor, use a separate questionnaire for each contract being evaluated.

Because this information is critical to the evaluation process, your time and effort in providing your assessment is greatly appreciated. The questionnaire should be completed as soon as possible but not later than 5/17/2016 Eastern Time 2:00 PM Eastern Time (ET). Assessor is requested to send electronically to jason.kinchen@va.gov. Assessor: Please so not sent this information to the Offeror being evaluated.

Thank you in advance for your cooperation and expeditious response to this request.

PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

581-13-101 Renovate Surgical Suite and Upgrade Operating Rooms

SECTION A: Contractor Information (to be completed by the contractor for who past performance information is being collected, prior to forwarding to assessors)

VA249-16-R-0286

SECTION B: Assessors Information (*to be completed by assessors*.

Customer/Agency	Department of the Veteran A	ffairs, NCO 9, Murfr	eesboro, TN 37219				
Prospective Government Name and Address:							
 Contractor Point of O Phone number (with 	Contact:						
3. Phone number (with area code):4. Assessor Contract Award number:							
	ces provided under contract:						
6. Contract award date:	: Contract Amou	nt: Initial	Final				
7. Period of Performan	ce or Delivery Date:						
ASSESSOR INFORM	(ATION:						
Assessor Name	ATION.						
Title							
Phone Number/Emai	3						
Address	•						
Audicss							
	eby granted to provide the inforacting Activity, Murfreesboro,	*	his questionnaire to				
(Signature)		-					
(Name and Title of Auth	horizing Official)	(Date)					

RATING SCALE Definitions

Solicitation Number

Project/Requirement

Past Performance Evaluation Ratings			
Rating	Description		
Acceptable (A)	Based on the offeror's performance record, the		
	Government has a reasonable expectation that		
	the offeror will successfully perform the		
	required effort, or the offeror's performance		
	record is unknown. (See note below.)		
Unacceptable (U)	Based on the offeror's performance record, the		

			easonable expectation that			
	the offeror will be the required effor		le to successfully perform			
The questions on the survey (see below) shall be rated in accordance with the definitions provided in the Rating Scale. Any unsatisfactory or marginal rating shall be supplemented with an explanation in the space provided.						
QUALITY OF SERVICE 1. Rate the contractor's compliance with contractor	tual requirements.	A	U			
2. Overall rating of contractor quality of service.		A	U			
PLEASE PROVIDE RATIONALE FOR ASSIG	NED RATING:					
SCHEDULE1. Delivery of service was within required time properties contract requirements.	period specified by		U			
2. Rate the contractor's ability to control cost and Are invoices complete, accurate, and timely?	d submit invoices.	A	U			
PLEASE PROVIDE RATIONALE FOR ASSIG	NED RATING:					
BUSINESS RELATIONS 1. Overall rating of contractor's business practices (e.g. maintaining A positive working relationship, business ethics, timely and effectively Resolution of any problems, etc.) A U						
2. Rate the working relationship between contract and your company (i.e. contractor's history of cooperative behavior, commitment of customer for the interest of the customer).	reasonable and					
3. Rate the contractor's ability to submit require and/or invoices in a timely manner.	d reports	A	U			
4. Rate the contractors responsiveness to custom complaint resolution.	ner	A	U			
5. Overall rating of contractor's business relation	ns.	A	U			
PLEASE PROVIDE RATIONALE FOR ASSIGNED RATING:						
How would you feel about awarding another contract to this contractor?						
Would not hesitate to award another contract to this contractor.						

	_ Woul	d most likely award	another contr	ract to this contractor.				
	Would think twice about awarding another contract to this contractor, but would do so if no better alternative existed.							
	_ Do no	ot wish to award and	other contract	to this contractor.				
	_ Woul	d not award another	contract to th	nis contractor.				
PLEA	SE PROVIDE	RATIONALE FOR	ASSIGNED	RATING:				
	ll Rating of Coassessed.	ntractor's performar	nce (quality, s	chedule, business relations,) on contract				
A	cceptable	Unacceptable						
ASSE	General Comn SSOR: tify your role in		d or administi	ration and the period of your involvement.				
✓	✓ Role			Period of Involvement				
	Contract Spec	cialist/Contracting C	Officer					
	Technical Pro	ject Lead/Project O	fficer					
	OTHERS							
(Signa	ture)		(1	Date)				
(Typed or Printed Name)				(Organization Name)				
(Phone Number)			((Organization)				