

JOHNSON COUNTY, KANSAS
CBOC
SFO VA-101-15-N-0098
Clarifications Round #2

1. The VA's response to questions #6 and #43 in Clarifications Round #1 indicates building occupancy should be "Ambulatory". However, the VA's response to question #39 indicates there will not be any patients incapable of self-preservation, which would indicate the occupancy should be Business, not Ambulatory. Please confirm. Note that an Ambulatory occupancy will increase construction cost / rental rate without increasing the quality or value of the building for the VA.

RESPONSE: Ambulatory is correct to allow for future flexibility in services.

2. The updated NUSF plan provided in Amendment #1 does not include the Police room or the (plan) east-west departmental circulation (approximately 3,000 SF) in the clinical area in the NUSF amount. However, these areas are not defined as excludable areas per SFO 4.2.3. The Police room is a functional space required by the VA, and the east-west departmental circulation is not included in 4.2.3.D, Public Corridors and Entrance Lobbies, as it's clearly dedicated departmental circulation in the secure clinical area, and not part of the public lobby area. Please reconcile this discrepancy, either by 1) including these areas in the NUSF amount per SFO 4.2.3, and increasing the NUSF amount; or 2) updating SFO 4.2.3 to exclude the departmental circulation indicated on the floor plan (but not all departmental circulation, as some is included in the NUSF calculation), and the Police room. Please clarify.

RESPONSE: See SFO Amendment No. 2 A101 revised, which incorporates the Police room into the net usable square feet. The net usable number for this revised plan remains at 22,910. The east-west corridor (approximately 1,336 SF) is a Public corridor serving as exit discharge from the building since doors are provided at each end of the building. We also have determined the other corridor is identified as a 'Back of House' Public corridor (approximately 1,524 SF) which serves as access for Materials/Supplies coming from the Loading Dock as well as access to the MEP spaces. Although a few clinical staff support areas access off of this corridor, it is primarily a Public/Service Corridor.

3. Would the VA be open to accepting LEED Silver for Healthcare Certification V3 (2009) if the Offeror is able to achieve with preregistration? Our team is confident we can meet V3 for the project and also save the VA \$'s versus targeting Version 4?

RESPONSE: The LEED V4 requirement established by SFO Amendment No. 1 stands.

4. Is there any way the VA team would look at moving the final submission date to July 15th vs. the 5th? The holiday being at the submission date is causing several issues with different parties being absent. I am sure the other Offerors are in the same situation and any slight extension would be appreciated.

RESPONSE: No further extension is under consideration by the Contracting Officer.