	<b>CONSTRUCTION REQUEST FOR INFORMATION</b>  <b>RFI No: 1</b>		<b>CONTRACTOR COMPANY:</b> Schommer & Sons	
			<b>RFI REQUESTER:</b> Paul Dickow, Walker Macy	<b>RFI DATE:</b> July 21, 2016
<b>PROJECT NAME:</b> Willamette National Cemetery		<b>DESIGN FIRM:</b> Walker Macy		
<b>PROJECT NUMBER:</b> 907-036	<b>CONST. PROJECT MANAGER:</b> Charles "Chuck" Bolen	<b>RFI RESPONDER:</b> Chuck Bolen	<b>RFI DATE RESPONDED:</b> July 22, 2016	

### Requested Information/Clarification:

1. We assume it's allowed to incorporate graphics into the proposal, but the Solicitation does not directly mention graphics. Can you confirm it's OK to incorporate graphics into our response, provided we meet the required page limits?
2. On similar solicitations from the VA and other federal agencies we have utilized the standard SF330 federal forms for resumes and other elements. The Solicitation does not mention the SF330 forms; can the VA verify that they are not required for the submittal?


### Responses:

We assume it's allowed to incorporate graphics into the proposal, but the Solicitation does not directly mention graphics. Can you confirm it's OK to incorporate graphics into our response, provided we meet the required page limits?

**RESPONSE:** Graphics acceptable as long as does not affect previously stated content and limit requirements

On similar solicitations from the VA and other federal agencies we have utilized the standard SF330 federal forms for resumes and other elements. The Solicitation does not mention the SF330 forms; can the VA verify that they are not required for the submittal?

**RESPONSE:** Submit resumes in SF 330 format

	<b>CONSTRUCTION REQUEST FOR INFORMATION</b>  <b>RFI No: 1</b>		<b>CONTRACTOR COMPANY:</b> Schommer & Sons	
			<b>RFI REQUESTER:</b> Paul Dickow, Walker Macy	<b>RFI DATE:</b> July 21, 2016
<b>PROJECT NAME:</b> Willamette National Cemetery		<b>DESIGN FIRM:</b> Walker Macy		
<b>PROJECT NUMBER:</b> 907-036	<b>CONST. PROJECT MANAGER:</b> <b>Charles "Chuck" Bolen</b>	<b>RFI RESPONDER:</b> <b>Chuck Bolen</b>	<b>RFI DATE RESPONDED:</b> <b>July 22, 2016</b>	

### Requested Information/Clarification:

3. On page 84 of the Solicitation, the Project master List Completion Instructions #9 reads: "Identify if the listed project was one of those selected by the Offeror as a Relevant Project IAW RFQ paragraph 3.8.1.2." We cannot find paragraph 3.8.1.2 in the solicitation content, can you clarify this reference?
4. Page 17, D.5.(h): this instruction for the written submission references " Provision 52.215-1 paragraph (c)(2). We could not find this provision elsewhere in the Solicitation content, can you clarify this reference?


### Responses:

On page 84 of the Solicitation, the Project master List Completion Instructions #9 reads: "Identify if the listed project was one of those selected by the Offeror as a Relevant Project IAW RFQ paragraph 3.8.1.2." We cannot find paragraph 3.8.1.2 in the solicitation content, can you clarify this reference?

**RESPONSE:** Refer to F.1.2 on page 21 of 89 in the RFP

Page 17, D.5.(h): this instruction for the written submission references " Provision 52.215-1 paragraph (c)(2). We could not find this provision elsewhere in the Solicitation content, can you clarify this reference?

**RESPONSE:** This references a FAR Clause concerning "instructions to offerors." As stated in the RFP, you are to indicate on page 1 of your submission that you "agree fully with all terms, conditions and provisions included in the solicitation."

	<b>CONSTRUCTION REQUEST FOR INFORMATION</b>  <b>RFI No: 1</b>		<b>CONTRACTOR COMPANY:</b> Schommer & Sons	
			<b>RFI REQUESTER:</b> Paul Dickow, Walker Macy	<b>RFI DATE:</b> July 21, 2016
<b>PROJECT NAME:</b> Willamette National Cemetery		<b>DESIGN FIRM:</b> Walker Macy		
<b>PROJECT NUMBER:</b> 907-036	<b>CONST. PROJECT MANAGER:</b> <b>Charles "Chuck" Bolen</b>	<b>RFI RESPONDER:</b> <b>Chuck Bolen</b>	<b>RFI DATE RESPONDED:</b> <b>July 22, 2016</b>	

**Requested Information/Clarification:**

5. VA101-16-R0147-A000010000 Amendment issued on 7/5/16 states that teams should acknowledge addenda in the submittal. Where/in which section of the submittal should we provide this acknowledgment?
6. We understand that the point system is cumulative: teams that pass Phase 1 selection have their points carried over and combined with the points they accumulate in Phase II. Will teams selected to participate in Phase II be informed of their point score at that time, or of their ranking?

**Responses:**

**VA101-16-R0147-A000010000 Amendment issued on 7/5/16 states that teams should acknowledge addenda in the submittal. Where/in which section of the submittal should we provide this acknowledgment?**

**RESPONSE: Acknowledge on page 1 of your submission immediately following the statement referenced in question #10 above.**

**We understand that the point system is cumulative: teams that pass Phase 1 selection have their points carried over and combined with the points they accumulate in Phase II. Will teams selected to participate in Phase II be informed of their point score at that time, or of their ranking?**

**RESPONSE: NO**