

Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP)

The Contractor will be evaluated in accordance with the following:

1. PURPOSE

This Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP) provides a systematic method to evaluate performance for the stated contract. This QASP explains the following:

- What will be monitored?
- How monitoring will take place.
- Who will conduct the monitoring?
- How monitoring efforts and results will be documented.

This QASP does not detail how the Contractor accomplishes the work. Rather, the QASP is created with the premise that the Contractor is responsible for management and quality control actions to meet the terms of the contract. It is the Government's responsibility to be objective, fair, and consistent in evaluating performance.

This QASP is a "living document" and the Government may review and revise it on a regular basis. However, the Government shall coordinate changes with the Contractor through contract modification. Copies of the original QASP and revisions shall be provided to the Contractor and Government officials implementing surveillance activities.

2. GOVERNMENT ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The following personnel shall oversee and coordinate surveillance activities.

a. Contracting Officer (CO) – The CO shall ensure performance of all necessary actions for effective contracting, ensure compliance with the contract terms, and shall safeguard the interests of the United States in the contractual relationship. The CO shall also assure that the Contractor receives impartial, fair, and equitable treatment under this contract. The CO is ultimately responsible for the final determination of the adequacy of the Contractor's performance.

Assigned CO:

Organization or Agency: NCO 21

b. Contracting Officer's Representative (COR) – The COR is responsible for technical administration of the contract and shall assure proper Government surveillance of the Contractor's performance. The COR shall keep a quality assurance file. The COR is not empowered to make any contractual commitments or to authorize any contractual changes on the Government's behalf.

Assigned COR: Peggy Morris

Organization or Agency: VA Northern California Health Care System (612)

3. CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVES

The following employee(s) of the Contractor serve as the Contractor's program manager(s) for this contract.

Primary:

Alternate:

Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP)

4. PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

The Contractor is responsible for performance of ALL terms and conditions of the contract. CORs will provide contract progress reports quarterly to the CO reflecting performance on this plan and all other aspects of the resultant contract. The performance standards outlined in this QASP shall be used to determine the level of Contractor performance in the elements defined. Performance standards define desired services. The Government performs surveillance to determine the level of Contractor performance to these standards.

The Performance Requirements are listed below in Section 6. The Government shall use these standards to determine Contractor performance and shall compare Contractor performance to the standard and assign a rating. At the end of the performance period, these ratings will be used, in part, to establish the past performance of the Contractor on the contract.

5. INCENTIVES/DISINCENTIVES

The Government shall use past performance as incentives. Incentives shall be based on ratings received on the performance standards.

6. METHODS OF QA SURVEILLANCE

Various methods exist to monitor performance. The COR shall use the surveillance methods listed below in the administration of this QASP.

- a) **DIRECT OBSERVATION.** The VANCHCS physician, surgeon or COR shall directly observe the services provided by the Contractor.
- b) **VALIDATED USER/CUSTOMER COMPLAINTS.** Complaints will be forwarded to the COR for review and recording as part of the official contract record and will be used in the COR's end of year rating of the Contractor.
- c) **PERIODIC SAMPLING.** One to two (1-2) randomly selected records will be reviewed per quarter. All reviews and reports will be conducted in compliance with VA Privacy and Information Security Standards.

Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP)

PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Measures	PWS Reference	Performance Requirement	Standard	Acceptable Quality Level	Surveillance Method	Incentive	Disincentive
1-Technician Quality Performance	4.2, 4.3, and 4.5.2.1.	Contract technician(s) shall perform in accordance with clinical standards	100% of care provided within clinical standards of care	100%	Direct observation	Favorable contactor performance evaluation.	Unfavorable Contractor performance evaluation.
2 - Qualifications of Key Personnel	2; 2.5, and 4.5.2.2.	Contract technician(s) are trained and experienced in accordance with the PWS requirements.	All (100%) Contract technician(s) hold minimum qualifications and experience as a Mohs Technician.	100%	Periodic Sampling of qualification documents.	Favorable contactor performance evaluation.	Unfavorable Contractor performance evaluation.
4- Patient Access	3.1.1.1.	Contract technician(s) shall be available and in location as needed to properly perform tasks as specified.	All (100%) Contract technician(s) are on time and available to perform services.	95%	Direct observation.	Favorable contactor performance evaluation.	Unfavorable Contractor performance evaluation
5 - Patient Safety	4.3.13.3	Patient safety incidents shall to be reported using Electronic Patient Event Reporting (ePER) system. All incidents reported immediately (prior to the end of shift or within 24 hours of incident.)	All (100%) of patient safety incidents are reported using ePER prior to the end of shift or within 24 hours of the patient incident.	100% of patient safety incidents are reported using ePER.	Periodic Sampling	Favorable contactor performance evaluation.	Unfavorable Contractor performance evaluation
7 - Mandatory Training	2.4 and 4.5.2.5	Contractor shall complete all required training per	All (100%) of required training is complete on time	90% required training is completed on	Periodic Sampling	Favorable contactor performance	Unfavorable Contractor performance

Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP)

		VAMC policy	by Contract technician(s).	time.		evaluation.	evaluation
8 - Privacy, Confidentiality and HIPAA	4.4.2	Contractor is aware of all laws, regulations, policies and procedures relating to Privacy, Confidentiality and HIPAA and complies with all standards and Zero breaches of privacy or confidentiality.	All (100%) Contract physician(s) comply with all laws, regulations, policies and procedures relating to Privacy, Confidentiality and HIPAA	100% compliance.	Periodic Sampling. Contractor shall provide evidence of annual training required by VAMC, reports violations per VA Directive 6500.6.	Favorable contractor performance evaluation.	Unfavorable Contractor performance evaluation

Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP)

7. RATINGS

Metrics and methods are designed to determine rating for a given standard and acceptable quality level. The following ratings shall be used:

EXCEPTIONAL: Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the Contractor were highly effective.

***Note:** To justify an **Exceptional** rating, you should identify multiple significant events in each category and state how it was a benefit to the GOVERNMENT. However a singular event could be of such magnitude that it alone constitutes an Exceptional rating. Also there should have been NO significant weaknesses identified.*

VERY GOOD:

Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the Contractor were effective.

***Note:** To justify a **Very Good** rating, you should identify a significant event in each category and state how it was a benefit to the GOVERNMENT. Also there should have been NO significant weaknesses identified.*

SATISFACTORY:

Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the Contractor appear or were satisfactory.

***Note:** To justify a **Satisfactory** rating, there should have been only minor problems, or major problems the Contractor recovered from without impact to the contract. Also there should have been NO significant weaknesses identified.*

MARGINAL:

Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed reflects a serious problem for which the Contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The Contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.

***Note:** To justify **Marginal** performance, you should identify a significant event in each category that the Contractor had trouble overcoming and state how it impacted the GOVERNMENT. A **Marginal** rating should be supported by referencing the management tool that notified the Contractor of the contractual deficiency (e.g. Management, Quality, Safety or Environmental Deficiency Report or letter).*

UNSATISFACTORY:

Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed contains serious problem(s) for which the Contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.

***Note:** To justify an **Unsatisfactory** rating, you should identify multiple significant events in each category that the Contractor had trouble overcoming and state how it impacted the GOVERNMENT. However, a singular problem could be of such serious magnitude that it alone constitutes an unsatisfactory rating. An **Unsatisfactory** rating should be supported by referencing the management tools used to notify the Contractor of the contractual deficiencies (e.g. Management, Quality, Safety or Environmental Deficiency Reports, or letters).*

Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP)

8. DOCUMENTING PERFORMANCE

a. The Government shall document positive and/or negative performance. Any report may become a part of the supporting documentation for any contractual action and preparing annual past performance using CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT REPORT (CPAR).

b. If Contractor performance does not meet the Acceptable Quality level, the CO shall inform the Contractor. This will normally be in writing unless circumstances necessitate verbal communication. In any case the CO shall document the discussion and place it in the contract file. When the COR and the CO determines formal written communication is required, the COR shall prepare a Contract Discrepancy Report (CDR), and present it to CO. The CO will in turn review and will present to the Contractor's program manager for corrective action.

The Contractor shall acknowledge receipt of the CDR in writing. The CDR will specify if the Contractor is required to prepare a corrective action plan to document how the Contractor shall correct the unacceptable performance and avoid a recurrence. The CDR will also state how long after receipt the Contractor has to present this corrective action plan to the CO. The Government shall review the Contractor's corrective action plan to determine acceptability. The CO shall also assure that the Contractor receives impartial, fair, and equitable treatment. The CO is ultimately responsible for the final determination of the adequacy of the Contractor's performance and the acceptability of the Contractor's corrective action plan.

Any CDRs may become a part of the supporting documentation for any contractual action deemed necessary by the CO. See Sample CDR below.

Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP)

SAMPLE CONTRACT DISCREPANCY REPORT				
1. CONTRACT NUMBER		2. REPORT NUMBER FOR THIS DISCREPANCY		
3. TO: <i>(Contracting Officer)</i>		4. FROM: <i>(Name of COR)</i>		
5. DATES				
a. CDR PREPARED	b. RETURNED BY CONTRACTOR:	c. ACTION COMPLETE		
6. DISCREPANCY OR PROBLEM <i>(Describe in detail. Include reference to PWS Directive; attach continuation sheet if necessary.)</i>				
7. SIGNATURE OF COR				Date:
8. SIGNATURE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER				Date:
9a. TO <i>(Contracting Officer)</i>		9a. FROM <i>(Contractor)</i>		
10. CONTRACTOR RESPONSE AS TO CAUSE, CORRECTIVE ACTION AND ACTIONS TO PREVENT RECURRENCE. <i>(Cite applicable quality control program procedures or new procedures. Attach continuation sheet(s) if necessary.)</i>				
11. SIGNATURE OF CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE				Date:
12. GOVERNMENT EVALUATION. <i>(Acceptance, partial acceptance, reflection. Attach continuation sheet(s) if necessary.)</i>				
13. GOVERNMENT ACTIONS <i>(Acceptance, partial acceptance, reflection. Attach continuation sheet(s) if necessary.)</i>				
14. CLOSE OUT				
	NAME	TITLE	SIGNATURE	DATE
CONTRACTOR NOTIFIED				
COR				
CONTRACTING OFFICER				

Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP)

9. FREQUENCY OF MEASUREMENT

- a. Frequency of Measurement. The frequency of measurement is defined in the contract or otherwise in this document. The government (COR or CO) will periodically analyze whether the frequency of surveillance is appropriate for the work being performed.
- b. Frequency of Performance Reporting. The COR shall communicate with the Contractor and will provide written reports to the Contracting Officer quarterly (or as outlined in the contract or COR delegation) to review Contractor performance.

10. COR AND CONTRACTOR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF QASP

SIGNED:

SIGNED:

CONTRACTOR NAME/TITLE DATE