# PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE - ATTACHMENT

<u>INSTRUCTIONS</u>: -Offeror to complete boxes 1 through 7 before sending to past customer.

B <b>SOLICITATION</b> : VA786-17-Q-0213	в 9	SOLI	CITATI	ON: V	/A786-17-Q-0213	
---	-----	------	--------	-------	-----------------	--

-Boxes 8 through 10 to be completed by past customer of Offeror and returned to:teresa.cabanting@va.govno later than the date provided by the solicitation. NOTE: It is incumbent upon the offeror to have the customer send the completed questionnaire to email address above by the submission deadline.										
1. Contractor Name, A	Address, Point	of Contact:	2. Contract Number:		3. Contract Type:					
1a. Name:			4. Contract Value (Current plus any un Options) : \$	Contract Value (Current plus any une xercised ptions): \$						
1b. Address:			6. Customer Name:	5a. From:						
1c. Point of Contact &	& Contact Info	rmation:	6a. Customer Point of Contact Informati on (email):		5b. To:					
7. DESCRIPTION OF REQUIREMENT:										
8. RATINGS - Summarize contractor performance and check the box corresponding to the performance rating for each category. See Rating Guidelines on second page for rating descriptions.										
8a. QUALITY OF SERVICES	1 [ ] 2 [ ] 3 [ ] 4 [ ]	COMMENTS								
8b. PROBLEM RESOLUTION	1 [ ] 2 [ ] 3 [ ] 4 [ ] 5 [ ]	COMMENTS								
8c. COST CONTROL	1 [ ] 2 [ ] 3 [ ] 4 [ ] 5 [ ]	COMMENTS								
8d. TIMELINESS	1 [ ] 2 [ ] 3 [ ] 4 [ ] 5 [ ]	COMMENTS								
8e. BUSINESS RELATIONS	1 [ ] 2 [ ] 3 [ ] 4 [ ] 5 [ ]	COMMENTS								
8f. CUSTOMER SERVICE	1 [ ] 2 [ ] 3 [ ] 4 [ ] 5 [ ]	COMMENTS								
9. OVERALL RATING:										
10. PRINTED NAME AND SIGNATURE OF EVALUATOR: 10a. EVALUATION DATE:										

## RATING GUIDELINES (DO NOT CIRCLE ANYTHING BELOW – THEY ARE GUIDELINES TO CHECK #'s FOR THE FORM ABOVE)

## QUALITY OF PRODUCT OR SERVICES

- 1 Unsatisfactory
  - Nonconformance jeopardizes the achievement of contract goals: default.
- 2 Poo

Nonconformance requires major agency intervention to ensure achievement of contract goals: show cause or cure notices

- 3 Fair
  - Quality meets specifications in most cases, however, some agency intervention required to ensure achievement of contract requirements.
- 4 Good
  - Quality meets specification in all cases.
- 5 Excellent
  - Quality exceeds specifications in some cases.

#### PROBLEM RESOLUTION

- 1 Unsatisfactory
  - Inadequately resolved problems jeopardize contract goals.
- 2 Poor
  - Significant agency intervention required to resolve problems jeopardizing contract goals.
- 3 Fair
  - Some agency intervention required to resolve problems jeopardizing contract goals.
- 4 Good
  - Successfully overcomes or resolves all problems and achieves contract goals with minimal agency intervention.
- 5 Excellent
  - Anticipates and avoids most problems and successfully overcomes all unforseen problems.

#### COST CONTROL

- 1 Unsatisfactory
  - Cost increases jeopardize achievement of contract goals: or billings routinely include unallowable costs.
- 2 Poor
  - Significant cost increases; or some inaccurate billings including some with unallowable costs.
- 3 Fair
  - Minor cost increases; or some inaccurate billings, but a minimal (1-2) number of unallowable cost.
- 4 Good
  - Contractor performed within cost; but some late billings, none with unallowable costs.
- 5 Excellent
  - Costs were less than the amount cited in the contract; and billings accurate and timely.

#### TIMELINESS OF PERFORMANCE

- 1 Unsatisfactory
  - Delays jeopardize the achievement of contract goals.
- 2 Poor
  - Significant delays.
- 3 Fair
  - Minor delays.
- 4 Good
  - All deliverables on time.
- Excellent

### BUSINESS RELATION

- 1 Unsatisfactory
  - Unethical or illegal business practices
- 2 Poor
  - Business practices are not attuned to customer support
- 3 Fair
  - Business practices are somewhat attuned to customer support.
- 4 Good
  - Business practices focus on customer support.
- 5 Excellen
  - Highly effective, proactive business practices focused on customer support.

#### CUSTOMER SERVICE

- 1 Unsatisfactory
  - Response to service requests is routinely late, ineffective or rude; customers express frustration or anger about many interactions; complaints are unresolved; contractor seems unaware of service issues.
- 2 Poor
  - Response to service requests is often late, ineffective or rude; some complains are resolved.
- 3 Fair
  - Response to service requests is uneven in timing or effectiveness; customer interactions are tenuous; contractor is trying hard and understands services issues.
- 4 Goo
  - Response to service requests is timely, effective and courteous; customers express positive feedback; delivery of service is smooth and organized; collects customer feedback; customer problems are resolved well.
- 5 Excellent
  - Response to service requests is timely, effective and courteous; the contractor is proactive in building good relations with customers, proposing new service strategies, analyzing and reporting on service loads and collecting and using customer feedback.