

Attachment C

Addendum to 52.212-2 EVALUATION—COMMERCIAL ITEMS (OCT 2014)

(a) In accordance with the procedures of FAR 12.6, the Government will award a contract resulting from this solicitation on a best value basis to the responsible schedule contractor whose offer conforms to the solicitation.

The evaluation factors include: Technical, Past Performance, and Price.

Factor 1: Technical. Each technical quotation will be evaluated to determine if the vendor provides a sound, compliant approach that meets the requirements of the Statement of Work (attachment A) and salient characteristics (attachment F) and demonstrates a thorough understanding of those requirements. Technical evaluations shall utilize the evaluation listed in Table 1.

TABLE 1- Technical Evaluation	
Evaluation	Description
Acceptable	Quote must accompany product literature, technical features and company standard warranty for the equipment (Analyzer). Evaluation will be based upon conformance to the SOW (attachment A) and salient characteristics (attachment F), meeting or exceeding the salient characteristics to support Hepatitis C Viral (HCV) cost per test required by Pathology and Laboratory.
Unacceptable	Quote that does not conform to the Statement of the Work; there is an absence of product literature for product to be supplied, indicates a lack of understanding; technical features do not meet salient characteristics; no warranty requirements.

Factor 2: Past Performance. The Past Performance evaluation assesses the degree of confidence the Government has in an offeror’s ability to supply products and services that meet users’ needs based on a demonstrated record of performance. Past performance information must be recent (within the past 5 years) and relevant (same or similar) to be considered. The Government will evaluate Past Performance using data archived in the Performance Information Retrieval System (PIRS). Contractors may submit up to three (3) past performance references that depicts delivery of similar supplies and performance of similar services.

TABLE 2 – Past Performance	
Evaluation	Description
Acceptable	Contractor’s performance located in Performance Information Retrieval System (PIRS) and/or evaluations on past performance references must be satisfactory or higher.
Neutral	Contractor with no recent and relevant Government past performance history.
Unacceptable	One or more evaluations on PIRS or any submitted evaluation with a rating lower than satisfactory.

Factor 3: Price. Lowest over-all price will be evaluated first but will not be considered if it does not conform to the SOW and/or meet or exceed salient characteristics of the products required.

Award will be made to Contractor with acceptable past performance whose quote meets the requirements of the SOW and meets or exceeds the salient characteristics with the lowest overall price.