

Submitted: 6/23/2017 6:14:23 PM **By:** RAVEN OPERATIONS, LLC

Question: 1 - Question 1

1) Reference: RFP Page 10, F. Summary of Work, ii. states: "In designated burial sections (as annotated on the Site Plan) the Contractor shall remove the top six inches of soil and import and grade six inches of clean topsoil after turf removal but prior to new turf installation; and in one burial section the Contractor shall not remove existing soil but shall still be required to import and grade new topsoil."? Question: In order to accurately price CLIN 0002 and the 317,118 SF involved, can you please provide a copy of the site plan and/or quantify and distinguish between the SF of remove and import topsoil and the SF of import-only topsoil?

This question has not been answered yet

[Answer: Site plan for each section is attached. The sections have uneven surfaces and after the turf kill/removal; the contractor will need to level and grade the area using new topsoil.](#)

Submitted: 6/23/2017 6:15:05 PM **By:** RAVEN OPERATIONS, LLC

Question: 2 - Question 2

2) Reference A: RFP Page 89, Quote Format, Table 1 with the established page limit for Volume II Technical Quote at 20 pages, to include any cover page or table of contents. Reference B: RFP Pages 92-93, Factor 1 – Technical Capability Factor that declares 'This Section shall be no longer than 45 pages' and three Sub-Factors, each with 'No more than 15 Pages.' Question: Can you please clarify the proposal format instructions and page limitations?

This question has not been answered yet

[Answer: The proposal shall be no longer than 45 pages.](#)

Submitted: 6/23/2017 6:15:39 PM **By:** RAVEN OPERATIONS, LLC

Question: 3 - Question 3

3) Reference: RFP Page 93, Sub-Factor 2. Question: Can the NCA please clarify or offer additional references to this stated requirement: 'The contractor shall explain the nature of the 'Standards' or service assurance in the event its commitments are not met.'

This question has not been answered yet

[Answer: Delete the sentence. This is more for a grounds maintenance contract.](#)

Submitted: 6/23/2017 6:16:14 PM **By:** RAVEN OPERATIONS, LLC

Question: 4 - Question 4

4) References A: RFP, Para 1.2.2, Page 34. "... such as GRASSPAVE2™ or an approved equivalent product. GRASSPAVE2™ is ... produced by Invisible Structures, Inc. If Contractor proposes to use an equivalent product, submit product literature and specifications with proposal for approval." Reference B: Airfield Systems' AIRPAVE product is installed at several NCA cemeteries; See: <https://airfieldsystems.com/flat-markers/> with downloadable brochure/specs. Offeror Perspective: Clearly, it only makes sense to propose an approved product, or to submit multiple bids, each with their own product. Question: Is the AIRPAVE porous grass paver, manufactured by Airfield Systems, an acceptable and pre-approved

product for this effort?

This question has not been answered yet

Answer: The AIRPAVE is an acceptable product but the contractor still need submit and provide the literature of the product to the COR.