

Question #	Question	Government Response
1	I've seen an addendum for Trinka Davis with RFI answers regarding the CCTV which states that they are Pelco/Tyco but they do not give a model number (though it is asked in the question). This is still not enough information to accurately quote this. Is there any way we can get the exact model number that they are looking for for both projects?	Here is the information off an interior camera for Trinka Davis. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GE Security • UVD-IP-EVRDNR-VA2 1/3" color 540TVL Super HAD 2.8-10.5mm D/N AI UTP Rugged Dome IP Camera, DC 12vAC 24V /PoE 12W, ntsc Under the barcode is listed HS12201881
2	RFI about Bid Schedule. Deduct 1 states, "Remove canopy: omit canopy construction at first floor and omit roof overhang and copper fascia at both circulation towers." Deduct 3 states, "Reduce architecture: omit natural stone veneer on first floor and copper fascia banding at first and second floor within project scope. Copper fascia at canopy and roof overhang to remain." Question 1 is: Deduct 1 is removing the canopy and the roof overhang and copper fascia at both circulation towers and then in Deduct 3 you tell me the copper fascia at canopy and roof overhang to remain. If you have to take the deducts in order there is no way to keep copper fascia at canopy and roof overhang in Deduct 3 because Deduct 1 is deleting it. Once you take Deduct 1 there will be no copper fascia on this project at all. Deduct 1 deletes all copper fascia on this project.	<p>SPECIFICATIONS</p> <p>A. 01 00 00 – GENERAL REQUIREMENTS: REVISE: section 1.3 Statement of Bid Items(s) Alternate A, Remove canopy: omit canopy construction along face of garage. Omit over hang at roof level of circulation towers. Copper fascia to be placed on building similar to detail 10/AS311. REVISE: section 1.3 Statement of Bid Items(s) Alternate C, Reduce architecture: omit natural stone veneer and copper fascia banding within project scope. Copper banding to be replaced with precast reveals similar to 8/AS311.</p> <p>DRAWINGS</p> <p>A. G1100 – Cover: REVISE: Deduct Alternates C to read: REDUCE ARCHITECTURE: OMIT NATURAL STONE VENEER AND COPPER FASCIA BANDING WITHIN PROJECT SCOPE. COPPER BANDING TO BE REPLACED WITH PRECAST REVEALS SIMILAR TO 8/AS311.</p> <p>B. G1104– Bid Alternates: REVISE: Deductive Bid Alternate 'C' to read: REDUCE ARCHITECTURE: OMIT NATURAL STONE VENEER AND COPPER FASCIA BANDING WITHIN PROJECT SCOPE. COPPER BANDING TO BE REPLACED WITH PRECAST REVEALS SIMILAR TO 8/AS311.</p>
3	(Continuation from question 2) Also Item 2 – Deduct Alternate No 1 from the specs and the solicitation state: "Remove canopy: omit canopy construction at first floor and omit roof overhang and copper fascia at both circulation towers." Deduct Alternate No 1 from the drawings (on the cover of the drawings) state: "Omit canopy construction along face of garage, omit over hang at roof level of circulation tower. Copper fascia to be placed on buildings similar to detail 10/AS311." So the bid schedule in the specs and solicitation states to omit roof overhang and copper fascia at both circulation towers and the bid schedule on the drawings tells us to put copper fascia on buildings similar to detail 10/AS311. Please clarify.	See answer 2
4	after review of the Amendment, the Geotechnical Report recommends use of an Augercast Pile or an Aggregate Pier. Can we utilize an Aggregate Pier foundation system in lieu of the augercast piles? The drawings mention the Augercast Piles but not the Aggregate Piers.	Aggregate piers can be used in lieu of augercast piles. The redesign of the aggregate pier system and spread footings will need to be done by the contractor based on the foundation loads provided on the drawings. Drawings and calculations stamped by a professional engineer will need to be submitted and reviewed.