

	CONSTRUCTION REQUEST FOR INFORMATION RFI No: 2	CONTRACTOR COMPANY: G&C Fab-Con LLC	
		RFI REQUESTER: Cole Vettraino	RFI DATE: January 11, 2018
PROJECT NAME: Jacksonville National Cemetery		DESIGN FIRM:	
PROJECT NUMBER: 828CM2036	CONST. PROJECT MANAGER: David "Dave" Martino	RFI RESPONDER: David "Dave" Martino	RFI DATE RESPONDED: January ____, 2018

Requested Information/Clarification:

1. The solicitation does not list a page count for “Factor 1, Sub-factor B: Construction Management” or “Factor IV Price Proposal”. Can you please confirm there is not a page count for these factors?

Government Response:

Phase II Volume I

Factor I Technical Compliance

Sub-Factor A – Total Page Limit is 10

Sub-Factor B – Total Page Limit is 10

Factor II Project Management

Sub-Factor A – Total Page Limit is 30

Sub-Factor B – Total Page Limit is 25

Sub-Factor C – Total Page Limit is 15

Factor III Price Proposal/Cost Proposal – Total Page Limit is 30

****NOTE:** Cover pages and index pages do not count towards page limitations. Except as noted, the Government will not review any information that exceeds the page limitation, including attachments, enclosures, charts, etc. Exception: Reduced size drawings of the conceptual design maybe be included in the bound proposal in addition to a full-size drawing set and will not count towards the total number of sheets.

2. Is there an overall page count for the proposal?

Government Response:

Volume 1 shall be the technical management proposal (maximum 90 pages); and Volume 2 shall be the price proposal (maximum 30 pages.)

3. Factor I –Technical Compliance, Sub-Factor A Design Concept and Supporting Narrative”. This Factor states that Offeror shall submit a design concept (not exceeding 5 sheets). The Bridging Documents that were supplied in Phase II have the design already defined. Are we still required to submit a design concept and supporting narrative, or do the Bridging Documents supersede the Solicitation which is dated 4-25-17?

Government Response:

A Design Concept is not required provided the Design Concept provided by the Bridging documents are utilized. Should an alternative Design Concept be proposed then it shall be submitted with the Offeror’s proposal.

4. Can we please have a copy of the EA or EIS?

Government Response:

Please see supplemental information and requirements provided.

5. Have permits been obtained for the project (including the expansion area) from the US Army Corps of Engineers and St. Johns River Water Management District for wetland impacts and storm water management?

Government Response:

Please see supplemental information and requirements provided.

6. It appears that wetland boundaries are depicted on the plans. Were these wetland boundaries flagged by a qualified wetland scientist and were they reviewed and approved by USACE and SJRWMD? If so, may we get copies of the Jurisdictional Determination correspondence?

Government Response:

Please see supplemental information and requirements provided.

7. Has any protected species been identified on the project site (i.e. gopher tortoise)? City of Jacksonville typically requires a wildlife report, if applicable. Is this report available?

Government Response:

Please see supplemental information and requirements provided.

8. For each submittal outlined in PG18-15, Volume D, environmental compliance with permits and NEPA compliance is mentioned to some degree. Does this need to be addressed for each submittal?

Government Response:

Yes, the narrative supporting each submittal shall address how compliance is achieved.

9. Has there been any cultural resource assessment for Section 106 compliance? If so, may we get a copy of the reports?

Government Response:

Please see supplemental information and requirements provided.

10. Will wetland delineation be provided to the DB team?

Government Response:

Please see supplemental information and requirements provided.

11. Please confirm that a Topographic Survey of the entire undeveloped project area is still required (Solicitation Page 98 of 112.)

Government Response:

Minor survey information is available in CAD/PDF. Contractor shall assume to acquire additional survey meeting project requirements.

12. Please confirm that documentation for Section 106 compliance (NHPA) is still required (Solicitation Page 99 of 112.)

Government Response:

Please see supplemental information and requirements provided.

13. Can you please confirm if face to face meeting will be required for the following: Start-up meeting, DD1 Review, DD2 Review, CD1 Review? If so, where will the meetings be held, and who is required to attend from the Design-build team?

Government Response:

All review meetings will be hosted by the DB contractor at a location near the project site. Meetings required are Kick-Off, DD1, DD2, CD1 and CD2. Should an alternate design concept be proposed, also required will be MP1-5. Key design/engineering disciplines, such as civil, irrigation, cemetery design, landscape architecture etc, should attend. All other design/engineering team members can attend via a video conference. The DB contractor shall provide all necessary IT equipment required for these meetings.