ATTACHMENT 1 - PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONAIRE AND COVER LETTER

Complete one set of letters and forms for at least three projects identified in your firm's SF 330 Section F, Example Projects Which Best Illustrate Proposed Team's Qualifications For This Contract. Additional space or blank sheets may be added to answer any question.

Transmittal Letter to Accompany Past Performance Questionnaire

FROM: [Insert Company Official Name, Title, and Company Name]

SUBJECT: Past Performance Questionnaire for Contract(s):

[Insert Company Name] is currently responding to Castle Point VAMC request for SF 330, Architect-Engineer Qualifications for the "Relocation of Audiology". This Request for SF 330's requires respondents to identify customers and solicit their response regarding [Insert Company Name] performance.

[Insert Company Name] is providing past performance data to Castle Point VA Medical Center relating to our performance on contract [Insert contract name/number] and have identified [Insert name of reference] as the point of contact for this contract.

The request for SF 330 instructs that respondents provide customers with the attached questionnaire. Please complete the questionnaire and submit it by <u>March</u> <u>13th 2018 NLT 5:00PM EST</u> directly to the VISN 2/Network Contracting Office (NCO) Contract Specialist. The requested data may be submitted by mail or email to the government representative identified below. If the Past Performance Questionnaire is emailed, DO NOT send a hard copy via mail.

Jason M. Rundle Contracting Officer Network Contracting Office (NCO) 2 1304 Buckley Road Suite #104 Syracuse, NY 13210

Email: jason.rundle@va.gov

The information contained in the completed Past Performance Questionnaire is considered sensitive and cannot be released to [Insert Company Name]. Please direct any questions about the acquisition or the attached questionnaire to the NCO2 point of contact identified above.

Thank you,

[Insert Company Official Name and Title]

A. GENERAL INFORMATION

A-E FIRM TO BE EVALUATED:

Firm Name:	Telephone:
Address:	Email address:

	Point of Contact:
Eirm Cago Codo:	
Firm Cage Code:	
Firm Tax ID Number:	
Firm DUNS Number:	
Project Title:	
Description of Project:	
Description of A-E Firms'	
Responsibilities:	
	
Contract Number:	Dollar Amount:
Contract Period of Performance:	
The A-E Firm performed as the Prime Co	entractor
Percent of work performed by A-E Firm:	Other (Please describe)
B. EVALUATOR INFORMATION: Evaluator's Company or Agency	
Name:	Evaluator's Name:
Address:	Title of Evaluator:

 Telephone:
 E-mail:

C. <u>SEND COMPLETED QUESTIONAIRE (SECTIONS B through D)TO</u>:

Jason M. Rundle Contracting Officer Network Contracting Office (NCO) 2 1304 Buckley Road Suite #104 Syracuse, NY 13210

Email: jason.rundle@va.gov

D. <u>PERFORMANCE INFORMATION</u>: Choose the appropriate rating that most accurately describes the A/E's performance or situation. *PLEASE PROVIDE A NARRATIVE EXPLANATION FOR ALL RATINGS OF Marginal or Unsatisfactory on page 7 under Narrative Summary.*

Exceptional (5)	Very Good (4)	Satisfactory (3)	Marginal (2)	Unsatisfactory (1)	N/A
-Performance	-Performance meets	-Performance meets	-Performance does	-Performance does	-Not applicable
meets or met	or met contractual	or met contractual	or did not meet	or did not meet	or rater has not
contractual	requirements and	requirements. The	some contractual	most contractual	observed
requirements and	exceeds or exceeded	contractual	requirements. The	requirements and	performance in
exceeds or	some of your	performance	contractual	recovery is not	this area.
exceeded many of	company's	reflects or reflected	performance	likely or did not	
your company's	expectations. The	some minor	reflects or reflected	occur. The	
expectations The	contractual	problems.	serious problems(s)	contractual	
contractual	performance reflects	Corrective actions	for which the	performance	
performance	or reflected some	being taken by the	contractor has not	contains or	
reflects or reflected	minor problems and	contractor appear	yet identified	contained serious	
few minor	corrective actions	to be effective or	acceptable	problem (s) for	
problems and	being taken by the	Corrective actions	corrective actions or	which the	
corrective actions	contractor appear to	taken were	did not provide	contractor's	
taken by the	be effective or	effective.	acceptable	corrective actions	
contractor appear	Corrective actions		corrective actions.	appear ineffective	
to be highly	taken were effective.			or were	
effective or				ineffective.	
corrective actions					
taken were					
effective.					
l					

A-E FIRM'S NAME:	PROJECT NAME:	
Contract Period of Performance:		

Note: Include this information on each page of the questionnaire form to ensure there is no mix up in information among contracts surveyed for respective primes/subs, etc.

Place an "X" in the appropriate column using the definitions matrix on page 4.

		l		l			
ltem	FACTORS TO BE RATED	Exceptional (5)	Very Good (4)	Satisfactory (3)	Marginal (2)	Unsatisfactory (1)	N/A
	Design Services:						
1.	Overall skill level and technical competence of A/E's personnel.						
2.	A/E's ability to identify and resolve design issues expeditiously.						
3.	A/E's responsiveness to design review questions.						
4.	A/E's ability to effectively coordinate, integrate and manage their consultants/subcontractors/team						
5.	A/E's effectiveness and responsiveness in interfacing with the Client's staff						
6.	Overall accuracy, completeness and coordination of final design documents. (Quality)						
7.	A/E's ability to provide detailed, accurate cost estimates.						
8.	A/E's ability to meet contract schedule.						
	Follow-On Construction Support Services:						
9.	Thoroughness and timely review of construction submittals.						
10.	Timely resolution of construction design issues.						
11.	Overall quality, responsiveness and timeliness of A/E follow- on construction support services.						
	LEED (If Applicable):						
12.	Overall accuracy, completeness, timeliness and coordination of LEED documentation.						
13.	A/E's ability and understanding of the overall LEED process.						

ltem	FACTORS TO BE RATED	Exceptional (5)	Very Good (4)	Satisfactory (3)	Marginal (2)	Unsatisfactory (1)	N/A
	BIM (If Applicable):						
14.	Overall accuracy, completeness, timeliness and coordination of BIM documentation.						
15.	A/E's ability and understanding of the overall BIM process.						
	Owner's Representative on Design/Build Projects (If applicable):						
16.	Overall accuracy, completeness, timeliness and coordination of requirements documents and bridging documents.						
17.	A/E's ability, thoroughness, timeliness and support as Owner's Representative throughout the project.						
	Overall:						
18.	How would you rate the A/E's ability to control cost?						
19.	How would you rate the A/E's <u>overall management</u> <u>performance</u> on this contract?						
20.	How would you rate the A/E's <u>overall technical/quality</u> <u>performance</u> on this contract?						
21.	Would you use this A/E again? (If "No", please comment in the Narrative Summary)		YES			NO	

			YES	NO	
					ļ
Numb	er o	f A/E Design Errors & Omissions on Project:			
Increa	sed	Project Cost Due to A/E Design Errors & Omissions:		_	
CONT	RAC	TOR'S NAME: PROJECT NAME			_

ARRATIVE SUMMARY (Use this section to explain any rating from the previous page)					
Item COMMENTS					

Note: Include this information on each page of the questionnaire form to ensure there is no mix up in

Contract Period of Performance: _____

information among contracts surveyed for respective primes/subs, etc.