

Attachment 4- Basis for Award

Combined Synopsis/Solicitation

Solicitation#: 36C24819Q0009

Basis for Award:

(a). The Government will award a contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror whose offer conforming to the solicitation will be most advantageous to the Government, price and other factors considered. The following factors shall be used to evaluate offers:

Technical

Past Performance

The Government seeks to select the Lowest Price Technically Acceptable (LPTA) quoter evaluated price of quotes meeting or exceeding the acceptability standards for non-cost factors.

All quoters will be required to prepare and submit their complete quote submission with confidence that they have reviewed and quoted appropriately the requirements relative to the Statement of Work.

Exchanges. The Government intends to evaluate quotes and award a contract with no exchanges with the quoter.

1. Technical Factors-Must present at least five (5) examples of conducting feasibility studies and providing design construction documents, specifications and cost estimate for modifying buildings for the purpose of the installation of mobile emergency generator systems for the noted type properties listed in the SOW. (refer to Contractor Qualifications section of the SOW)
2. Past Performance-Contractor shall submit Past Performance survey, a minimum of three references, included with response to this RFQ. Past performances information should demonstrate recent (equal to 5 years) and relevant (services similar in scope and magnitude both governmental and or commercial) past performance and experience on contracts performing a similar study of multiple building sites to assess their capability and feasibility of installing an emergency generator quick connection. (see attached Past Performance forms)

Price Factor – This factor evaluates the overall price to determine if the proposed price is fair and reasonable. Price quotes will be evaluated, but not rated.

SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

All prospective contractors shall have current registration in the System for Award Management (SAM) (<https://www.sam.gov/portal/public/SAM>), prior to submission of their respective quote. Prospective Quoter is required to submit Technical Capability (Contractor's Format), Past Performance and a Price Quote (using the pricing schedule).

QUOTE CLOSING DATE:

Quotes are due on or before Oct 10, 2018 at 3:00 pm EST. Submission shall be via email to (LaVerne.Astroth@va.gov).

Contractor Past Performance Evaluation

Contract Number: 36C24819Q0008

Contracting Officer: LaVerne Astroth

Contractor:

Type of Service: CBOC Emergency Generator Quick-Connect Study

Evaluation Period:

Name of Evaluator:

Date:

Rate each performance element by marking the box next to the appropriate number that best applies. Excellent = 4; Good = 3; Satisfactory = 2; Poor = 1; Unsatisfactory = 0; or Not Observed.

A. Quality of Service:

Excellent 4 ☐ 3 ☐ 2 ☐ 1 ☐ 0 ☐ Unsatisfactory Not Observed ☐

COMMENTS:

B. Timeliness of Performance:

Excellent 4 ☐ 3 ☐ 2 ☐ 1 ☐ 0 ☐ Unsatisfactory Not Observed ☐

COMMENTS:

C. Business Relations (Resolving problems, complaints; response to inquiries):

Excellent 4 ☐ 3 ☐ 2 ☐ 1 ☐ 0 ☐ Unsatisfactory Not Observed ☐

COMMENTS:

D. Cost Control:

Excellent 4 ☐ 3 ☐ 2 ☐ 1 ☐ 0 ☐ Unsatisfactory Not Observed ☐

COMMENTS:

E. Customer Satisfaction:

Is/was the contractor committed to customer satisfaction? ☐ Yes ☐ No Not Observed ☐

COMMENTS:

F. Overall Performance: Excellent 4 ☐ 3 ☐ 2 ☐ 1 ☐ 0 ☐ Unsatisfactory Not Observed ☐

How would you rate the contractor's overall performance?

COMMENTS:

A. Quality of Service

Unsatisfactory	Non-conformances are jeopardizing the achievement of contract requirements, despite use of Agency resources. Recovery is not likely. If performance cannot be substantially corrected, it constitutes a significant impediment in consideration for future awards containing similar requirements.
Poor	Overall compliance requires significant Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.
Satisfactory	There are no, or very minimal, quality problems, and the Contractor has met the contract requirements.
Good	There are no quality issues, and the Contractor has substantially exceeded the contract performance requirements without commensurate additional costs to the Government.
Excellent	The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level that was significantly in excess of anticipated achievements and is commendable as an example for others, so that it justifies adding a point to the score. It is expected that this rating will be used in those rare circumstances where contractor performance clearly exceeds the performance levels described as "Good".

B. Timeliness of Performance

Unsatisfactory	Delays are jeopardizing the achievement of contract requirements, despite use of Agency resources. Recovery is not likely. If performance cannot be substantially corrected, it constitutes a significant impediment in consideration for future awards.
Poor	Delays require significant Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.
Satisfactory	There are no, or minimal, delays that impact achievement of contract requirements.
Good	There are no delays and the contractor has exceeded the agreed upon time schedule.
Excellent	The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level that justifies adding a point to the score. It is expected that this rating will be used in those rare circumstances where contractor performance clearly exceeds the performance levels described as "Good".

C. Business Relations

Unsatisfactory	Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues is not effective. If not substantially mitigated or corrected it should constitute a significant impediment in considerations for future awards.
Poor	Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues is marginally effective.
Satisfactory	Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues is consistently effective.
Good	Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues exceeds Government expectation.
Excellent	The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level that justifies adding a point to the score. It is expected that this rating will be used in those rare circumstances where contractor performance clearly exceeds the performance levels described as "Good".

D. Cost Control

Unsatisfactory	Cost control is not effective. If not substantially mitigated or corrected it should constitute a significant impediment in considerations for future awards.
Poor	Cost control is marginally effective.
Satisfactory	Cost control is consistently effective.
Good	Cost control exceeds Government expectation.
Excellent	The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level in Cost Control. It is expected that this rating will be used in those rare circumstances where contractor performance clearly exceeds the performance levels described as "Good".

DO NOT WRITE ON THIS FORM. THIS FORM EXPLAINS HOW TO ANSWER PAGE ONE (1).