

Question: There appears to be conflicting information contained in the RFP regarding the types of evaluations requested. The Statement of Work specifies **"Fitness for Duty Evaluations,"** which, by convention and as described in the SOW, are performed on incumbent employees who are referred because they have exhibited some type of problematic behavior. For example, the SOW refers to 13 different examples of behavioral changes that may cause concern and the presence of one or more of these "may lead to the ordering of an evaluation." FFDEs are, by their nature, very complex and performed only when there is evidence of a problem, and are *not routinely* performed on personnel. However, the SF1449 asks for prices for (0001AA) **"Psychological Evaluations for Hire"** (these exams are presumably those done for job applicants and not incumbents) and (0001AB) **"Annual Psychological Evaluations"** (these exams are presumably those *routinely* performed annually on all incumbent police officers). The manner in which these exams are performed are described in *"Fact Sheet: Police Officer Physical Examinations and Psychological Assessments Office of Security and Law Enforcement, February 7, 2005"* and the April 2002 memorandum from the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Security and Law Enforcement, *"Psychological Testing of Applicants for Police Officer Positions."* I am attaching these documents for your convenience. Would you please clarify exactly the type of exam or exams that you would like provided?

Answer: To clarify, this is a Request for Quotes (RFQ) and not a Request for Proposals (RFP). A revised Statement of Work is provided to hopefully clear up these questions.

Question: Section D of the RFP refers to a document entitled "ADDENDA TO FAR 52 212-2." Can you please tell me (or send me) the document this is referring to?

Answer: The document is clearly shown on the FedBizOpps web site and is readily available for downloading.

Question: Can you please tell me the name of the psychologists and firm that last provided these services?

Answer: The incumbent contractor is PDI Ninth House of Minneapolis, Minnesota.

Question: Can you please tell me the prices most recently charged for these services?

Answer: The total dollar amount of the previous award is \$18,025.00.